

General Questions			
Question #	RFP Reference	QUESTION	ANSWER
Gen-1	General	Can a contractor, as a prime, submit a bid on a task order and also participate as a subcontractor to another prime for the same task order?	Yes - An offeror may submit a proposal as a potential prime contractor on a Task Order and also be included as a subcontractor in the proposal of another potential prime contractor for the same Task Order.
Gen-2	(EAGLE BOA Award), as it relates to Solicitations W52P1J12R0112 (Ft Campbell) & W52P1J12R0115 (Ft Benning). Page 9 of 77, Small Business Provisions, Joint Ventures, Teaming Agreements, and Subcontracting, para (a) thru (c.)	We are writing for clarification with respect to the EAGLE Business Rules delineated in our W52P1J-13-G-0026 EAGLE BOA Award versus what appears to be the current execution of Solicitations for the Ft Benning and Ft Campbell DOL opportunities. -- Specifically, that it appears the Gov't has bypassed their self-proposed market research step, and progressed directly to a Step 3 Full/Open competition. Specifically, our understanding is that the Benning and Campbell opportunities are being offered to BOA holders as Full/Open solicitations. -- This appears incongruent with the language on page 9 of our Gov't awarded BOA which states that... (c) Market\~Research will be performed prior to Task Order competitions in Step 3 for those requirements that are not DOL requirements and for DOL requirements expected to exceed \$35.5 million per year. If it is determined that there is a reasonable expectation that two or more proposals will be received from responsible small business offerors with the capacity and capability to perform the requirement at a fair and reasonable price, under the Rule of 2 the requirement will be solicited as a SBSA. If not, proposals will be solicited from all contractors determined to be acceptable under Step 2. Based on the language above, our understanding is that solicitations will be automatically be offered as SB set-asides if their value is below \$35.5mil annually. --- If the value of these DOL requirements is above \$35.5mil annually, then we expect the 'Rule of 2' to take precedence, with full/open solicitations being preceded by "Market \~Research". According to the Gov't language in our executed BOA, this is supposed to occur... prior to Task Order Competitions	Yes - Market research was performed prior to the issuance of the Task Order Request for Proposal.
Gen-3		Reference the definition of a "major subcontractor" provided in the Ft. Campbell RFP [attached]. Does this mean that all BOA holders may propose subcontractors who are expected to perform less than 20% of the total value of the contract or whose performance is not considered critical [subcontractors that were not previously approved]. Without this latitude offerors who received an executed BOA in Step Two on the basis of demonstrating organizational capability with teammates and who may propose itself and/or the approved subcontractors (who may not be small businesses) will not be able to meet the small business participation goals of 39%. The teams were formed based on organizational capability not socio-economic factors. In addition the small business participation goals were not known at the time of step two submissions.	Please refer to Amendment 0002, Section A, Paragraph 2.
Gen-4	Task Order RFP	The Task Order RFP does not identify an estimated contract award date nor a potential transition start date. Will the government provide estimated timelines for these key events?	The Transition-In period is estimated to begin within 15 days of the award date. A formal notice to proceed will be provided to the contractor. It is anticipated that the task order award will occur in the March 2013 timeframe.
Gen-5	General	When responding to a Task Order during Step 3, may a BOA holder propose another BOA holder which was not included on the BOA team if that BOA holder has already demonstrated capability and has been approved as a BOA holder?	Refer to Amendment 0002, Section H, Paragraph 1-H(d).
Gen-6	RFP - Section A d), page 2	Can the confirm government give an estimated start date for the periods of performance for pricing purposes?	Please refer to Question Gen-4.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Gen-7	SF 33, page 1, block 9	As a result of hurricane Sandy and the massive storms that has forced closures of multiple organizations along the East Coast, will the Government consider extending the closing date for solicitations W52P1J-12-R-0112 & W52P1J-12-R-0115?	The solicitation has been extended to 19 November. Please refer to Amendment 0005.
Gen-8	General	Will the Government provide an estimated acquisition timeline for this solicitation?	Please refer to Question Gen-4.
Gen-9	General	Will the Government delineate their process for trade off analysis they will use for award selection?	Please refer to Section M, Paragraph M.3.4.
Gen-10	General	Will the Government delineate their cost realism process?	Please refer to Section M, Paragraph M.4.3.1.
Gen-11	General	Please confirm that the Contractor can invoice monthly for the Firm Fixed Price Transition In CLIN. Also, will the Government provide any documentation (i.e. DD254) to evidence completion of the transition in CLIN or will the end of the 60 day transition in periods satisfy completion?	Yes the Contractor may invoice monthly for the Firm Fixed Price Transition In CLIN. Please refer to Amendment 0003, Section H, Paragraph 3-H (b). The Government will not provide any documentation to evidence completion of the Transition In CLIN.
Gen-13	Solicitation, Section A, Page 2, Paragraph d) and Section L, Page 41, L.1.1	What is the projected start date of the Transition-In Period?	Please refer to Question Gen-4.
Gen-14	Solicitation, Section A, Page 2, Paragraph d) and Section M, Page 50, Para M.1	What is the projected date of Task Order Award?	Please refer to Question Gen-4.
Gen-15	RFP Amendment 0001, Page 3, Paragraph e)	This paragraph provides the prime contractor with the ability to propose subcontractors in its proposal for this Task Order if, during Step Two, they had demonstrated organizational capability in any of the three functional areas without the reliance of teammates. Our interpretation of this language is that if, for example, we demonstrated organizational capability without teammates only in Transportation, we now have the ability to propose subcontractors for any of the sub-tasks in Transportation, Supply and Maintenance. Would you please confirm that we are interpreting the wording provided in Amendment 0001 correctly?	If the Prime BOA holder demonstrated organizational capability without teammates in the Transportation functional area, it may propose itself, its approved teammates or any other subcontractors not necessarily included on their BOA team for the Transportation functional area only. For the functional areas of Supply and Maintenance, that Prime BOA holder may propose itself, approved teammates or subcontractor(s) for the purposes of meeting the Offeror's Subcontracting Participation Plan.
GEN-16	Amendment 0002, page 2, paragraph Section A. 2)	If an offeror selects a subcontractor for purposes of meeting small business participation goals, does that selected subcontractor need to be considered "major" and therefore given in excess of 20%?	No.
GEN-17	Amendment 0002, page 2, paragraph Section A. 2)	Will this selected subcontractor have to provide past performance with subsequent attachments to the offeror?	Only if its defined as a Major Subcontractor in accordance with paragraph L.5.3.1.1 of the solicitation.
GEN-18	Site Visit	Did the government conduct a Site visit on both October 23 and 24 as originally announced? The attendees list for October 23 is published on the web site. If two sessions were held will the government publish the attendees list for the October 24 site visit.	There was only one site visit conducted on October 23rd. The list of site visit attendees is all inclusive.
GEN-19	General	Can Government provide metrics on how often and extend of fee reduction penalty on previous contracts	No, this information will not be provided.
GEN-20	General	Where should offeror propose costs for additional ODCs not covered under customer provided numbers?	Please refer to Question PWS-10.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

Section B & Cost/Pricing Questions			
Question #	RFP Reference	QUESTION	ANSWER
B-2	Solicitation, Page 5, Section B & Attachment 0005, Cost Price Matrix	Section B, nor Attachment 0005 identify Period of Performance (POP) dates to begin and end Phase In, Base, and Option Years. Please provide this information as it will be needed to properly price work efforts, holidays, leap years, etc into the proper POP.	The period of performance is identified in the solicitation, Section A, General Information, Paragraph d.
B-3	Task Order RFP Attachment 0005	In what CLIN do we account for PMO costs during the contract period of performance?	Please refer to Question B-4.
B-4	Solicitation - Attachment 005, Ft. Campbell Cost Price Matrix	The identified CLINs do not include a CLIN for PMO support. Are offerors to allocate PMS express across all CLINs or will the government establish a separate CLIN for this support?	The Government does not intend to establish a separate CLIN for PMO support. It is up to the Offeror to allocate its PMO costs to the appropriate Labor CLIN.
B-5	FAR 15.403-1, 15.804-3, page 18 of the RFP I-145 (a) and Page 47, L.5.4.3.1	Page 18, I-145 (a) states certified pricing data submission is not required but L.5.4.3.1 states that pricing data should be submitted in accordance with Far clause 15.408, Table 15-2. We hereby request a waiver of certified cost and pricing data in accordance with 15.804-3 as price competition exists and the requirement of Table 15-2 is not required in our proposal submission.	FAR 15.403-5(b)(2) states, "When required by the contracting officer, data other than certified cost or pricing data may be submitted in the offeror's own format unless the contracting officer decides that use of a specific format is essential for evaluating and determining that the price is fair and reasonable and the format has been described in the solicitation." Certified Cost and Pricing Data is not required; however, the format in which Other Certified Cost and Pricing Data must be received shall be in accordance with FAR 15.408, Table 15-2.
B-6	Attachment 0005 - Cost Price Matrix; Page 48, Section L.5.4.3.5.3 c.	Section L.5.4.3.5.3 c requires the contractor to provide a Bill of Material. It is unclear what type of materials are to be listed. Section L.5.4.3.1 indicates that the government provided surrogate numbers cover material/equipment that cannot be provided through the government supply system. Per PWS Paragraph 1.10.2, Contractor's Purchasing Plan, the Army supply system is the first source of supply for obtaining material (supplies, repair parts); however, commercially sourced material may be required for the contractor to fulfill requirements of this effort due to unavailability or unacceptably long supply system lead times. Please provide guidance what types of materials should be included on the requested Bill of Material or if for the purposes of this acquisition, a BOM is not required. If we are required to include a priced Bill of Material, where is the price to be included on the Cost Price Matrix?	A priced Bill of Material is not required for proposal submission as surrogate values have been provided for Other Direct Costs (ODCs). Please refer to Amendment 0003, Section A, Paragraph 4.
B-7	Attachment 0005 - Cost Price Matrix	The bid form contains only labor and fee cells that can be filled in. Are subcontractor costs to be included within the line items for labor?	Per Section L, Paragraph L.5.4.3.4, subcontract labor is excluded from the Government provided surrogate Other than Direct Costs CLIN. Therefore, subcontractor costs should be included in the applicable labor line item.
B-8	RFP, Page 48, Paragraphs L.5.4.3.4 and L.5.4.3.5.3.c; Attachment 0005 - Cost Price Matrix	Paragraph L.5.4.3.4 defines ODCs, in part, as material and/or equipment that cannot be acquired through the Government Supply System (e.g., commercial purchases). Paragraph L.5.4.3.5.3.c asks the Offerors to provide a Priced Bill of Material in Excel format. Please clarify the intent of this requirement. Are the Offerors required to prepare and price a list of material and/or equipment that can be acquired through the Government Supply System? If so, are the Offerors required to include the Bill of Material with the BOEs, but not include the pricing in Attachment 0005 since there is no line item for material on this attachment?	Please refer to Question B-6.
B-9	No reference	If you establish a Surge CLIN, will the Government provide projected surge workload for Transportation, as was done for Supply (projected Special Projects) and Maintenance (MST/UME)?	The Government does not intend to establish a surge CLIN. The CLIN structure is outlined in Attachment 0005. The available workload data is provided in Attachment 0007.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

L&M Questions			
Question #	RFP Reference	QUESTION	ANSWER
LM-1	Solicitation Section L.5.5, Page 48	Are Small Business primes required to submit a Small Business Plan?	No - please refer to Section L, Paragraph L.5.5.3.
LM-2	Solicitation Section L.5.2.1.1.2, Page 45	As a Transition-In Plan requiring the amount of detail indicated in this section will require 6 or more pages, should this not be a separate requirement or provide a larger page limit?	Please refer to Amendment 0004 for revised page limitations.
LM-3	Solicitation Section M.4.1.5, Page 52	As an offeror was found technically acceptable during the BOA Step 2, why is there a requirement to determine if that company is again technically qualified?	The technical factor of the Step Two BOA RFP determined an Offeror's organizational capability on a wide scale. For this procurement, technical acceptability is based on an approach for this mission specific effort.
LM-4	Solicitation Section M.4.2, Page 52	As an offeror's past performances were found relevant and recent during the BOA Step 2, why is there a requirement to determine if that company's past performance is acceptable for the TO?	Given the nature of past performance, evaluation of the past performance factor is an ongoing process. The past performance factor for the subject RFP will be qualitatively evaluated based on assigned adjectival ratings rather than on an acceptable/unacceptable basis as conducted on the BOA RFP evaluation. Please reference Section M, Paragraph M.4.2 for detailed evaluation criteria for the past performance factor.
LM-5	RFP, Page 39, Para # L-9	Paragraph L-9 requires offeror to provide "with its offer a written plan describing how it will continue to perform essential contractor services". Question: Are offerors required to submit a Continuation of Essential Contractor Services Plan with our proposal? If so is there a page limit? Should this plan be submitted with "General Documents"? Please clarify this requirement.	Yes, please refer to Amendment 0004, Section L, Paragraph L.5.2.1.1.3 and Section M, Paragraph M.4.1.1(v). Please refer to Amendment 0004, Section L, Paragraph L.4.2.2(i) for page limit and volume submission instructions.
LM-6	RFP, Page 48, Paragraph L.5	The RFP states that a "Priced Bill of Material in Excel format, to include basis of estimate, i.e., competitive quotes, catalog prices, history, etc." is required. However, Attachment 005 provides plug numbers for Other Direct Costs, which according to section L.5.4.3.4 of the RFP includes "material and/or equipment that cannot be acquired through Government supply system". Question: Since the Government has already provided plug numbers for material and/or equipment that cannot be acquired through Government supply system, do we still have to provide a Priced Bill of Material?	Please refer to Question B-6.
LM-7	Solicitation, Page 39, Paragraph L-9 (b)	L-9 states "The offeror shall provide with its offer a written plan describing how it will continue to perform the essential contractor services shown in attachment 0008...". Section L.4 makes no mention of of submitting this with the offerors proposal. Review of the CDRLs provided with the solicitation, indicates only a contingency plan required 60 days after the award of the contract. Is it the Government's intention that the Offeror provide a Continuation of Essential Contractor Services Plan with our proposal response and, if so, where in the proposal response would the Government prefer the Offeror to place it?	Please refer to Question LM-5
LM-8	RFP, p. 39, L-9 Continuation of Essential Contract Services.	The contractor is required to submit a written plan to address the mission essential services listed in Attachment 8. Question: Is there a page limit to this written plan?	Please refer to Question LM-5.
LM-9	RFP, L.5.2.1.1.2, pg 45., Transition Schedule Requirement	Transition-In is a critical aspect of the mission capability approach. Consistent with the Organizational Diagram and Staffing Labor/Mix documents, will the Government exclude this response, or at a minimum, from the page count?	Please refer to Amendment 0004 for revised page limitations.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

LM-10	RFP L.5.4.3.5.3 (c), pg 48, Indirect Expense Rates, Material	RFP reference states, "The following data shall be provided for all prime and teammates proposals. Material: Priced bill of material in Excel format, to include basis of estimate, i.e., competitive quotes, catalog prices, history, etc." Since CLIN 0005A, Other Direct Costs, is a plugged value on Attachment 0005, COST/Price Matrix, will the Government provide another CLIN or clarify where bidders should post the bill of material costs? Will another CLIN be provided?	Please refer to Question B-6.
LM-12	RFP para L.5.4.3.4, pg 48 - Cost/Price Factor	This specific section under Section L.5.4 Cost/Price Factor addresses in part Other Direct Costs (ODC) surrogate numbers and defines what ODC items are included in the surrogate numbers. The Government also defines ODC items not included in the surrogate numbers. With the exception of subcontractor labor is it the intent of the Government to allow recovery of the excluded items? If not, will the Government provide an avenue for bidders to price the excluded items since no other ODC CLIN exists except CLIN 0005AA, which contains the surrogate numbers.	No, the Government does not intend to allow recovery of those items excluded from the ODC definition.
LM-13	Task Order RFP, Page 39, Section L-9(b) and PWS, Page 15, Para 1.4.2	Task Order RFP section L-9(b) requires "offeror shall provide with its offer a written plan describing how it will continue to perform the essential contractor services listed in Attachment 0008, Mission Essential Contractor Services, dated 13 July 2012, during periods of crisis." PWS para 1.4.2, addresses CDRL PM-04, Contingency Plan and Mission Essential Personnel. This paragraph states "The complete contingency plan will be submitted to the government for acceptance /approval not later than 60 calendar days after award." In most instances, how mission essential services will be continued are identified in the contingency plan for various scenarios, i.e., weather, strike, major illness/epidemic, etc. Will the government please clarify if these two references are discussing the same plan, and if so, when is the plan due?	Both documents are still requirements of this procurement. Please refer to Amendment 0004, Section L, Paragraph L.5.2.1.1.3 and Section M, Paragraph M.4.1.1(v) for the Mission Essential Contractor Services Plan which is required at time of proposal submission. Submission of CDRL PM-04, Contingency Plan is required 60 days after contract award date.
LM-14	Task Order RFP, Page 54 of 55, Para M.4.4.1.1.	Our small businesses will perform specific functions on this contract which capitalize on their core competencies. As a result, it could be difficult to maintain the government's SB goal of 39% of total contract dollars, depending on which work centers are surged during the contract POP. Will the government evaluate the offeror's initial submission for compliance with the 39% SB goal and then work with the winning bidder throughout the contract POP, to adjust that goal based on surge requirements?	The Government will evaluate the Offeror's proposed small business participation in accordance with Section M, Paragraph M.4.4.1.1 of the solicitation. The successful Offeror will be awarded a contract based on their final proposal. The approved subcontracting plan will be incorporated and made a material part of the task order.
LM-15	Task Order RFP, pg 52, Section M.4.1.1.i.4	Will the government provide additional information on how it will evaluate this element?	Please refer to Amendment 0005, revised paragraphs L.5.2.1.1.1(iv) and M.4.1.1(i)(4).
LM-16	Solicitation - page 48, L.5.4.3.5.3.c.	L.5.4.3.5.3.c. States that offerors are to complete a Bill of Materials (BOM) in an Excel file, to include a basis of estimate. Since the government has provided surrogate numbers under L.5.4.3.4 for ODC's/Materials, please confirm that this BOM is not required.	Please refer to Question B-6.
LM-17	Solicitation, Page 45, Section L, L.5.2.1.1.1 (iv)	What is the Government's definition of "Manpower Utilization"?	The effective and efficient use of human resources.
LM-19	Solicitation, Page 45, Section L, L.5.2.1.2 (i)	Please provide an example/definition of a "higher level task identified in the PWS."	For example, Central Issue Facility (5.11) or Container Yard (5.21).
LM-20	Solicitation, Page 45, Section L, L.5.2.1.3 and Attachment 0002	Can additional columns be added to Attachment 0002 to provide clarity of which Team Member performs the assigned function based on PWS requirements?	No - the format may ONLY be altered to include a proposed labor category not already included in Attachment 0002.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

LM-21	Solicitation, Page 46, Section L.5.3.2, Additional Past Performance	Offerors are afforded an opportunity to provide up to one additional past performance contract references for itself and each proposed teammate or major subcontractor, (beyond those provided with offerors BOA proposal) but are not required. Is this correct in the solicitation stating the ability to add one additional Past Performance or should it read provide up to three additional?	No - only one additional past performance contract reference is requested.
LM-22	RFP, Section L, L.5.4.3.4, page 48	Please clarify what items would be included in "individual comfort items" for ODCs that are not included in CLIN 0005 Other Direct Costs?	Those items desired by individual employees (excluding medically required items) that do not support the requirements of the effort (i.e. personal fans, foot rests, personal heaters, chair cushions).
LM-23	RFP, Section L, L.5.4.3.4, page 48	Please clarify what items would be included in "Class I" for ODCs that are not included in CLIN 0005 Other Direct Costs?	"Class I" items are excluded as an ODC. Please refer to Section L, Paragraph L.5.4.3.4.
LM-25	Section M – Evaluation Criteria for Award: Paragraph 4.4.1.1. - Proposed Small Business Participation, Page 54	"The government will evaluate the extent (percentage based on contract value) to which an offeror identifies and commits to utilizing SB as it relates to the goals of..." SB - 39%; SDB - 5%; WOSB - 5%; HubZone - 3%; VOSB - 3%; and SDVOSB - 3%. Please clarify whether the recommended small business goals are based on a percentage or proposed subcontract value or total contact value.	Per Section L, Paragraph L.5.5.1.1 and Attachment 0006, Contract Participation Matrix, the small business goals are based on the total contract value.
LM-26	Section L - Instructions, Conditions, and Notices to Offerors; Paragraph L-9 Notice of Continuation of Essential Contactor Services, Page 39	This paragraph requires the offeror to submit with their proposal a Written Plan for Continuation of Essential Contractor Services, in accordance with DFARS 252.237-7024 and include those services listed in Attachment 0008. Is this meant to be a Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) covering the services listed in the attachment? If not, please clarify. In which volume of the submission should this plan be included with, as it is not listed anywhere in Paragraphs L.1 through L.5 ?	Please refer to Question LM-5.
LM-27	Section L.4 Proposal Structure, Page 43	Please confirm that the offerors proposal consists of 5 volumes as follows: Volume I - General Documents; Volume II - Technical; Volume III - Past Performance; Volume IV - Cost/Price; and Volume V - Small Business Participation.	Please refer to Amendment 0003, Section L.4.2.
LM-28	Section L.4.2.1 (ix) General Documents, Page 43; Section L.5.1 General Documents, Page 44; and Section L.5.5.3, Page 49	Section L.4.2.1 lists 9 files to be included in the General Documents Volume, to include (ix) Small Business Subcontracting Plan and references Section L.5.5.3. Section L.5.1.(General Documents) - Information To Be Submitted includes (i) through (viii) excludes (ix) the Small Business Subcontracting Plan. Section L.5.5.1.1 - Proposed Small Business Participation states to include the Small Business Subcontracting Plan with Volume V - Small Business Participation. Please clarify.	Please refer to Amendment 0003, Section L.5.1.6.
LM-30	Solicitation page 52, paragraph L.4.2.2	Must each section of the document, i- iv, be uploaded as a separate file (e.g. Offeror_Name_Organizational_Diagram), or may the offeror combine into a single Volume/file (e.g. Offeror_Name_Technical) that is compliant with upload requirements?	Please refer to Amendment 0003, Section L.3.2 for revised proposal submission instructions.
LM-31	Solicitation page 52, paragraph L.4.2.3	Is the 100-word contract reference information narrative (L.4.2.3.i) to be included in Attachment 3 (L.4.2.3.ii), or provided in a separate file?	The contract reference information narrative is a separate document and should not be included within Attachment 0003. Please note the information requested in Attachment 0003 is not just applicable to the Contract Reference provided in the Contract Reference Information Narrative. Attachment 0003 requests the Offeror to identify past performance issues for itself, its approved teammates or major subcontractors for ANY relevant contract within the timeframes outlined in the attachment.
LM-32	RFP, Page 54, Paragraph M.4.4.1.1	During Step 2, the Government issued the following Q&A. Q.189. If a prime offeror proposes a company as a subcontractor to fill a gap in an area where they lack capability, can the prime decide in Step 3 to propose that company perform a portion of the work in an area where the prime does	A prime contractor who has demonstrated the capability to perform a functional area without the reliance of teammates can propose itself, approved teammate or any other subcontractor. Please refer to

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

LM-33	RFP Section L.4.2.1	Is a transmittal letter permitted to be included in the General Documents section?	Information submitted as part of an Offeror's proposal and not requested as specified in the RFP will not be reviewed by the Government.
LM-34	RFP Section L.4.2.1(i)	Is the Government requesting only the signed SF33 and SF 30 or does the Government want to have all the RFP and Amendment Continuation pages included in the General Documents section of the proposal?	The Government is only requesting signed SF33 and SF30 page and the remaining pages of the RFP which would require Offeror fill-in.
LM-35	L.5.2.1.4 (RFP page 54) and Attachment 0002	Because of the complexity of the staffing mix, and since the Offeror cannot alter Attachment 0002 to additionally explain the staffing/labor mix, will the Government consider increasing the page allocation for the BOE from 2 pages to 5 pages to allow for a comprehensive explanation of the staffing rationale that includes assumptions and/or constraints?	At this time, the Government does not intend to increase the page limit for this requirement.
LM-36	RFP, Page 39, Paragraph L-9	This paragraph requires the offeror to provide a written Mission Essential Contractor Services Plan with its offer. However, the solicitation does not specify where to include this plan in our offer. Is it acceptable to include this submittal in the General Documents portion of our submittal?	Please refer to Question LM-5.
LM-37	RFP, page 43, Paragraph L.4	This paragraph assigns 9 pages to our Mission Capability Narrative. The Mission Capability Narrative includes a discussion on a Transition-in Plan (Paragraph L.5.2.1.1.2), which could require several pages of the 9-page section to complete. Since the incumbent contractor does not have to dedicate several pages of their write-up to a Transition-in Plan, it appears that the non-incumbents are at a competitive disadvantage on this procurement. Would the Government consider providing a separate page count for the Transition-in Plan to eliminate this apparent imbalance?	Please refer to Amendment 0004, Section L, Paragraph L.4.2.2.i.
LM-38	RFP, page 49, Paragraph L.5	This paragraph requires the other than small business offerors to submit a separate Small Business Subcontracting Plan with their proposal. However, the RFP does not define a page limit for this document. Are there any guidelines associated with submitting this plan?	There is no page limitation for the subcontracting plan. Please refer to Section L, Paragraph L.4.2.1.viii.
LM-39	RFP, Page 54, Paragraph M.4.4.1.1.iii	This paragraph identifies a SB goal of 39% of total contract value. This goal appears to be very high based on recent experience, where 10-15% of total contract value is the norm and current DoD Small Business goal for Subcontracting in FY2012 & 2013 is 36.7% of subcontracted dollars. The current goal is out of proportion to DoD guidance and creates added costs to the contract due to the cost of managing more subcontractors. It also creates a fragmented command and control structure, because of the requirement to meet goals in all of the SB categories. We would suggest a SB goal in alignment with DoD directives. Would you please confirm if the SB goal on this contract is 39% of total contract value or 39% subcontracted dollars?	Please refer to Question LM-25.
LM-40	RFP, Page 54, Paragraph M.4.4.1.1.iii	Is there a legislative policy that is directing the SB goal of 39% of total contract value on this contract?	No.
LM-41	RFP, Page 54, Paragraph M.4.4.1.1.iii	Can you provide the Offerors with actual data from the incumbent's contract relative to the percent of total contract value being performed by SBs?	No, this information will not be provided.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

LM-42	RFP, Page 43, Paragraph L.4.1.1, Page 45, Paragraph L.5.2.1.2	Paragraph L.5.2.1.2 requires the Offerors to identify what firm is responsible for performing each of the higher level identified in the PWS on the organization chart. However, Paragraph L.4.1.1 requires the Offerors to remove the Offeror's identifying information from all pages of the Technical Proposal after the cover sheet. Could the Government please provide the Offerors with guidance (e.g., designating the Prime as Company A, Subcontractor #1 as Company 2, etc.) to how we comply with these conflicting requirements?	This requirement has been removed from the solicitation. Please refer to Amendment 0004, L.4.1.1.
LM-46	RFP, L-9 Notice of Continuation of Essential Contractor Services, pg. 39	Can the Offeror provide the written plan describing how it will continue to perform essential contractor services listed in attachment 0008 with the General Documents?	Please refer to Question LM-5.
LM-47	RFP, page 45, para L.5.2.1.2	This para. Requires, in the organizational diagram, "Identification..... of proposed teammates and or proposed major subcontractors". Para L.5.2 requires each offeror to "redact it's business name for the documents submitted". Question: Are offerors required to "redact" the name of proposed teammates or major subcontractors in our organizational diagram? If so how should we identify teammates/subcontractors on the organizational diagram? Please clarify.	Please refer to Question LM-42
LM-48	Solicitation, Page 43, Section L, Para L.4.2.2(i)	The Mission Capability Narrative page limitations "excludes cover page, table of contents; glossary of abbreviations and acronyms." No mention is made of a Compliance Matrix. Are compliance matrices excluded from the page count? Are they desired/required by the Government?	Information submitted as part of an Offeror's proposal and not requested as specified in the RFP will not be reviewed by the Government.
LM-49	RFP, Page 45, L.5.2	States "Each Offeror is required to redact its business name from the documents supporting its Technical Factor proposal, with the exception of the cover page." May the Prime Offeror name its proposed major subcontractors and/or teammates within its Technical response or are no company names allowed?	Please refer to Question LM-42
LM-50	RFP, Page 39, L-9(b)	The referenced section requests a written plan with the offer. Considering the current page allocations, where in our response would the Government like this plan to be submitted? Is there a preferred page count?	Please refer to Question LM-5
LM-51	L.4.2.1 (vii), SECRET Facility Clearance Documentation states that this is limited to one page. However, Section L.5.1.5 Requires "Offerors shall submit proof of possession of a current U.S. Facility Clearance at the SECRET level IAW DoDD 5220.22, National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual. This SECRET level clearance requirement applies to affiliates, team members, subcontractors, etc., that will require access to classified information."	If the Offeror is required to submit proof of Facility Clearances for subcontractors that will require access to classified material, then more than one form will be submitted thereby exceeding the one page limit requirement. Request the Government increase the page limit to one page per subcontractor.	Please refer to Amendment 0005 for revised page limitations.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

LM-54	PWS Page 9, Section 1.4.2 RFP, Page 39, L-9(b)	The PWS states that the Contingency Plan is to be submitted to the government NLT 60 calendar days after award. The RFP states that this plan is to be submitted with the offer. Please clarify the government's preferred submission of the Contingency Plan.	Please refer to Amendment 0004, Section L, Paragraph L.5.2.1.1.3 and Section M, Paragraph M.4.1.1(v) for the Mission Essential Contractor Services Plan which is required at time of proposal submission. Submission of CDRL PM-04, Contingency Plan is required 60 days after contract award date.
LM-55	W52P1J-12-R-0112, (Campbell) RFP Page 44, Paragraph L.5.1.3 AND L.5.1.4	The government has requested each offeror submit letters of intent and letters of consent for all major subcontractors for capabilities that the offeror intends to subcontract where in Step 2, the offeror had indicated they would undertake the effort. If the offeror intends to propose a distribution of capabilities consistent with the Step 2 submission and for which the offeror has already provided Letters of Consent, does the offeror need to resubmit the Letters of Consent?	The requirement for the Letter of Intent was removed by Amendment 0003. The Offeror does not need to resubmit Letters of Consent for those subcontractors provided in Step Two.
LM-56	Section L, page 47. paragraph L.5.4.2.6	If the teammate subcontractor wished to submit their pricing data separately, how do you want us to name the file?	Please refer to Amendment 0004, Section L, Paragraph L.5.4.2.6
LM-57	Section L, page 43. paragraph L.4.2.1, v and vi	If we use subcontractors that are already approved and listed on our BOA holders Attachment 0002, do we need to re-submit an additional Letter of Intent and Letter of Consent for each previously approved (under Step 2) subcontractor? If not, should we submit these forms with "N/A" in the response area, or just exclude those files from our electronic submission?	Please refer to Question LM-55.
LM-58	Section L, page 43. paragraph L.4.2.1, ix; L.4.2.5	If we are a small business and do not need to submit a Small Business Subcontracting Plan or Small Business Participation information, should we still submit these electronic files with "N/A" as a response for this requirement, or should we just exclude these files from our electronic submission?	Offerors who are small businesses do not need to submit a Small Business Subcontracting Plan. However, Offerors who are small businesses are still required to submit information with regard to Proposed Small Business Participation and Past Small Business Participation. Please refer to Section L, Paragraph L.5.5.1.1 and L.5.5.1.2 for proposal submission instructions.
LM-59	RFP -Sect L , Page 45 Technical Factor L.5.2.1.2- Organizational Diagram	Is the requirement for this section simply for a detailed chart/diagram of the proposed organization structure or is it the governments intent to allow an unlimited page count for discussion in narrative of the proposed organization?	The intent is for a detailed chart/diagram only.
LM-60	RFP, Page 46, Sect L .5.3- Past Performance	Is it the governments intent hat only additional past performance submissions are to be submitted above and beyond the those evaluated for the BOA STEP 2 or does the government expect the Prime and teammates to submit past performance previously submitted and tailored to the Fort Campbell requirement.	As stated in Section L, Paragraph L.5.3.1, the Government intends to utilize the past performance references that were provided with the Offeror's BOA proposal. In addition, if the Offeror chooses, it may submit up to one additional past performance contract reference for itself and each proposed teammate or major subcontractor (beyond those provided with the Offerors BOA proposal) (Reference Section L, Paragraph L.5.3.1.2).
LM-61	RFP-Sect L - Past Performance	If a company and it's team member, do not submit PP beyond that submitted in the BOA Step II the effect will be no past performance submitted. Is this correct.	As stated in Section L, Paragraph L.5.3.1, the Government intends to utilize the past performance references that were provided with the Offeror's BOA proposal. The Offeror is not required to submit additional past performance contract references for itself or its teammates or major subcontractors (Reference Section L, Paragraph L.5.3.1.2). The Offeror's Performance Confidence rating will be assessed as described in Section M, Paragraph M.4.2.9.
LM-62	RFP Section L.5.5, Page 48 and Question LM-1	Understand that Small Business does not need to submit a Small Business Sub-contracting plan as required at L.4.2.1. Are Small Business required to submit Attachment 6 and the Past Small Business Participation L.4.2.5 Volume 5	Yes. Please refer to Section L, Paragraph L.5.5.1.1(i-iv) and L.5.5.1.2(i-ii).

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

LM-65	1-H (e) Step Three Task Order Request, Page 13; Section L Paragraph 5.1.3, Page 44; Paragraph .5.1.4, Page 44; and Paragraph 5.3.1.1, Page 46	Paragraph 1-H (e) states "If Step Two Offerors demonstrated organizational capability in any of the three functional areas without the reliance of teammates, then the prime contractor is not precluded from proposing subcontractors in its proposal for this Task Order RFP." L.5.1.3 and L.5.1.4 state "In the event that the Prime BOA holder wishes to subcontract a capability that the PRIME was approved for in its BOA, the offeror shall provide Letter(s) of Intent and Letter of Consent for each major subcontractor." L.5.3.1.1 defines a major subcontractor as "subcontractors expected to perform in excess of 20% of the total value of the contract or whose performance is considered critical." This paragraph also states, "Major subcontractors may ONLY be proposed by Offerors who received an executed BOA in Step Two on the basis of demonstrating organizational capability without the reliance of teammates." Please clarify Paragraph 1-H - Can an offeror only propose a subcontractor (that is not included in the offeror's current Attachment 0002) if they qualified for Maintenance, Supply, or Transportation without the reliance of teammates, and if they did not qualify in any of the three functional areas without relying on	Please refer to Amendments 0002 & 0003. Paragraph L.5.3.1.1 was revised in Amendment 0002 to remove the following language: "Major subcontractors may ONLY be proposed by Offerors who received an executed BOA in Step Two on the basis of demonstrating organizational capability without the reliance of teammates." The referenced paragraph L.5.1.3 was removed via Amendment 0003.
LM-66	1-H (e) Step Three Task Order Request, Page 13; Section L Paragraph 5.1.3, Page 44; Paragraph .5.1.4, Page 44; and Paragraph 5.3.1.1, Page 46	Paragraph 1-H (e) states "If Step Two Offerors demonstrated organizational capability in any of the three functional areas without the reliance of teammates, then the prime contractor is not precluded from proposing subcontractors in its proposal for this Task Order RFP." L.5.1.3 and L.5.1.4 state "In the event that the Prime BOA holder wishes to subcontract a capability that the PRIME was approved for in its BOA, the offeror shall provide Letter(s) of Intent and Letter of Consent for each major subcontractor." L.5.3.1.1 defines a major subcontractor as "subcontractors expected to perform in excess of 20% of the total value of the contract or whose performance is considered critical." This paragraph also states, "Major subcontractors may ONLY be proposed by Offerors who received an executed BOA in Step Two on the basis of demonstrating organizational capability without the reliance of teammates." Sections L.5.1.3 and L.5.1.4 - Do the Letter(s) of Consent and Intent only need to be submitted if the offeror is proposing a major subcontractor, or for any subcontractors added at the Task Order level?	Letters of Consent only need to be submitted for major subcontractors.
LM-68	RFP, page 49, paragraph L.5.5.1.2(i)	In proving past SB participation, when citing contracts that are still on-going, the use of total contract value, assuming that to be the projected final value, may result in an inaccurate "total SB participation as a percentage of TCV" - offerors would be comparing an actual, non-final number (SB participation to date) to a projected final number (TCV). In circumstances where offerors are citing ongoing contracts, may we substitute "total funds expended to date" for "total contract value"?	No, the Offeror shall submit data based on total contract value as outlined in Section L, Paragraph L.5.5.1.2(i) and Attachment 0006, Contract Participation Matrix. Past small business participation will be evaluated in accordance with Section M, Paragraph M.4.4.1.2.
LM-69	Solicitation, Page 43, Section L.4.1.1; Amendment 0003, Page 10, Section L.4.1.1	Section L.4.1.1 requires company identifying information appear only on the cover sheet or the first page of each document submitted. Will the Government be providing a company specific identifier to replace company names (i.e. Company A1, Company B2, etc.) or are we to be generic and use "Company" or "Offeror"?	Please refer to Question LM-42.
LM-70	Solicitation, Page 48, Section L.5.4.3.4 CLINs for ODCs; Amendment 0003, Page 15, Section L.5.4.3.4 CLINs for ODCs; Attachment 0005	Referencing Attachment 0005, cells B16, B19, and B22 are labeled Labor. The Cost/Price Matrix spreadsheet Labor category does not indicate that ODCs not covered in the plug number can be included in the Labor dollars. Industry is trying to understand where to include ODCs other than what is called out in L.5.4.3.4. Request the Government define Labor to specify if it includes Labor costs and ODC costs not included in the ODC plug numbers.	Please refer to LM-12.
LM-71	Solicitation, Page 48, Section L.5.4.3.4 CLINs for ODCs; Amendment 0003, Page 15, Section L.5.4.3.4 CLINs for ODCs; Attachment 0005	Request the Government provide a detailed listing of what is included in the Other Direct Costs plug numbers for CLIN 0005AA of Attachment 0005. This request is to ensure there are no duplicate costs.	Please refer to PWS Section C-2 and RFP paragraph L.5.4.3.4 for clarification on what is included in ODC's.

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

LM-72	Solicitation, Page 48, Section L.5.4.3.4 CLINs for ODCs; Amendment 0003, Page 15, Section L.5.4.3.4 CLINs for ODCs; Attachment 0005	Request the Government advise if the plug numbers in CLIN 0005AA of Attachment 0005 are NTE.	This is correct.
LM-73	Amendment 0003, Page 1, Section 11; Amendment 0003, Page 8, Section L.1.7	Amendment 0003 provided changes to Section L.1.7 requiring proposals be submitted via hand delivery or via mail. Due to the time frame it will require to transport the proposal to Rock Island, IL either in person or via mail delivery, we respectfully request the Government consider an extension to compensate for the lost days for proposal preparation due to hand or mail delivery.	The RFP closing date has been extended. Please refer to Amendment 0004.
LM-74	Amendment 0003 Page # 10, Paragraph L.3.3	As stated "Each CD-ROM shall have a label affixed to each CD and CD cover. The label shall include the Offeror's name, solicitation number, and the proposal's volume number. " Please clarify if the Technical Volume CD-ROM should NOT include the offeror's name considering the Technical Volume is to be redacted.	Please refer to Question LM-42.
LM-75	RFP Para L.5.3.3 (Mod 0003), pg 13.	Will the government allow offerors to include an introduction to the past performance volume?	Information submitted as part of an Offeror's proposal and not requested as specified in the RFP will not be reviewed by the Government.
LM-76	RFP Para M.4.2.2 & M.4.2.9 (Mod 0003, pgs 52 % 53.)	During the STEP TWO solicitation, offeror experience was evaluated as acceptable/unacceptable, based upon the Section M criteria for "evaluation of similar experience and organizational capability [STEP TWO RFP, Para M.3.1, pg 110]". This RFP evaluates the offeror's previous submission using a Performance Confidence Assessment Rating scale (1-5) for their previous STEP Two submission and any additional citations provided in Volume III. While the new citations will be evaluated for recency and relevancy ("contracts which provide services in similar magnitude and complexity..."), the STEP TWO citations were based upon a completely different set of requirements. Will the government allow offerors to update the recency and relevancy of their STEP TWO past performances in a similar format to that for the additional past performances, and include them in Volume III?	Previous past performance submissions will be evaluated using the past performance criteria outlined in this solicitation.
LM-77	RFP, Section L, Para L-9 (b), page 39 of 55	"Solicitation states: The offeror shall provide with its offer a written plan describing how it will continue to perform the essential contractor services listed in Attachment 0008, Mission Essential Contractor Services, dated 13 July 2012, during periods of crisis. ..." What volume should this plan be submitted with and are there any page limitations?	Please refer to Question LM-5.
LM-78	RFP, Section L,	The 90 day transition period requirements are not detailed. What costs are allowable for billing under the transition plan?	Only costs associated to the transition in phase of the effort.
LM-80	Amendment 0003 - L.2.44 i. - Page Count	Can 11"x17" foldout pages be used for organizational charts and counted as one page?	Please refer to Section L, Paragraph L.4.2.2(ii).
LM-81	W52P1J-12-R-0112 Mod 0003, page 8 of 16, L.1.7	Paragraph L.1.7 states that proposal must be either hand-delivered or mailed but does not specify how many copies are required. Please provide guidance. Also will a similar amendment be made for W52P1J-12-R-0015 to change method of delivery?	Only one CD-ROM copy is required. Please refer to Section L, Paragraph L.4.2, "Each Offeror shall submit ONE proposal that addresses all of the requirements of the solicitation".

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

LM-82	RFP Section L.5.1.5.1	<p>Section L.5.1.5.1 states "Absent an adequate accounting system, an offeror cannot be determined responsible under FAR part 9.104-5 and will be ineligible for award."</p> <p>ACC-RI issued a "Pre-Award Account System Survey Notice" to all BOA holders requesting submission of the SF-1408 and supplemental information on accounting system by October 24, 2012. The notice indicates "If the government determines that a pre-award survey of the BOA Holder's accounting system is needed to determine adequacy, this office will submit a request to DCAA. DCAA will contact the BOA Holder's task order POC to arrange for the survey."</p> <p>The recent announcement that a majority of Eagle TOs are planned to be cost reimbursable type contracts creates a concern for Limited Liability Company (LLC) BOA holders: 26% of large business are LLCs (10 of 38 awardees) and 32% of small businesses are LLCs (19 of 58 awardees). Industry practice is for newly established LLCs to adopt the policies, procedures, and business systems of an LLC Member in order to meet the requirements under a cost reimbursable contract since newly established LLCs most likely have not undergone either a DCAA accounting system survey or a DCAA accounting system audit.</p> <p>BOA holders cannot control if or when DCAA will complete a survey. Companies may be preparing TO responses and making financial investments under the assumption that the Government will support TO award timeframes through timely review of the SF-1408 submissions, notifications of results, and/or DCAA Accounting Surveys. The Government's first round of EAGLE TOs may limit</p>	<p>1) The Government has provided all the SF-1408 submissions it received from BOA Holders to DCAA for determination of need of pre-award survey. If a pre-award survey is required, DCAA will be contacting the BOA Holder directly to facilitate the survey. It is the intent of the Government to have all the survey's completed prior to award of this task order.</p> <p>2) If DCAA does not conduct a pre-award survey it is because the BOA Holder has had a recent DCAA or DCMA review of its accounting system which showed it was adequate. When a pre-award survey is conducted, DCAA will notify the BOA Holder upon completion of the survey of the BOA Holder's accounting system status.</p> <p>3) Accounting system adequacy is determined via the responsibility determination made by the Contracting Officer prior to task order award. The intent of the DCAA pre-award survey's is to eliminate any task order delays awaiting this determination.</p>
LM-83	RFP Section L.5.4.3.4	<p>This section defines the items that are included in the surrogate numbers for ODCs. Where are we to include our costs for ODCs that are not included in the surrogate numbers (e.g., commercial general liability insurance, annual physicals) since Attachment 0005 Cost - Price Matrix lists labor and fee as the only cost elements.?</p>	<p>Please refer to LM-12.</p>
LM-84	RFP: W52P1J-12-R-0112 Paragraph Ref: Section L - Instructions, Conditions, and Notices to Offerors, Paragraph L.3.1, Paragraph L.3.2, and L.4.2.	<p>Comment: Paragraph L.3.1 states Offeror shall submit its proposal on CD-ROM in uncompressed files and L.3.2 States the Offeror's proposal shall be submitted in separate volumes as outlined in Section L.4.2. Each volume shall be on a separate CD-ROM. All information pertaining to a particular volume shall be confined to that volume. Question: Could the Government please provided clarification on the number of separate CD-ROMs the Offerory are to provide for each volume??</p>	<p>Only one CD-ROM is required for each volume.</p>
LM-86	RFP, L.5.3.6, Pg 13 (MOD 0003)	<p>Must the past performance questionnaires be submitted on company letterhead and be signed by each company?</p>	<p>Company letterhead and signature is not required per Section L, Paragraph L.5.3.6. Thus, information submitted as part of an Offeror's proposal and not requested as specified in the RFP will not be reviewed by the Government.</p>
LM-87	Q&A 5 Nov 2012 - Ref B-5 FAR 15.403-1, 15.804-3, page 18 of the RFP I-145 (a) and Page 47, L.5.4.3.1	<p>The response to Q&A B-5 appears to have inadvertently cut off leaving it unclear as to whether Table 15-2 is required to be provided with our submission. Please clarify.</p>	<p>Question B-5 has been revised to reflect the response in its entirety.</p>
LM-88	Solicitation & Amendment 0002 (page 7 of 15) L.1.7. Amendment 0003 (page 8 of 16) L.1.7	<p>Please confirm that Hand Delivery/Mail method of submission released in Amendment 0003 has replaced File Upload submission that was originally in Solicitation & Amendment 0002. Alternatively please advise if it is acceptable to hand deliver/Mail AND use File Upload process.</p>	<p>The File Upload submission process will not be available for this solicitation. Offerors must submit their proposals in accordance with Amendment 0003, Section L, Paragraph L.1.7.</p>
LM-89	Amendment 3 Section L 5.1.4 SECRET Facility Clearance	<p>With a one page limitaion, defined in Amendment 3 L.4.2.1 vi, would a letter from our Facility Clearance Officer providing name, cage code, address and security level suffice as "proof" of a current US Facility Clearance at the SECRET Level which could then be verified by the Contracting Officer in ISFD?</p>	<p>Please refer to Amendment 0005 for revised page limitations.</p>
LM-92	Reference RFP: L.4.1.1	<p>Each page shall include the offeror name, file name, date, and solicitation number in a header and or footer. Should we add a header and footer to the SF 30 and 33 and continuation sheets. These are government documents.</p>	<p>No, it is not necessary to add header and footers to the SF 30 and SF33's.</p>

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

LM-93	Volume 1 General Documents Section L.4.2.1 vi. SECRET Facility Clearance Documentation	What is the Government's rationale for requiring that the subcontractors have SECRET Facility Clearance Documentation when it is our understanding that it is a Prime's responsibility to have this level of facility clearance? If the Government is requiring a small business to have this level of clearance, can it be provided after contract award?	Please refer to paragraph L.5.1.4. "This SECRET level clearance requirement applies to affiliates, team members, subcontractors, etc., that will require access to classified information." The prime and any subcontractors requiring SECRET facility clearance must have clearance at the time of proposal submission.
LM-94	Section L.1.6., Section L.5.4.3.5	In accordance with Section L.1.6 - a "teammate is defined as a Joint Joint Venture partner and/or subcontractor (s) who are listed in the Offeror's Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement." For cost proposal submittal purposes in accordance with Section L.5.4.3.5, could the government confirm that subcontractor's not included in BOA Attachment 0002 that were added to meet the small business requirements (who do not meet the definition of a major subcontractor) are not required to submit a cost proposal?	Per Section L.5.4.3.5.1, "Offerors and all proposed teammate(s) and all proposed subcontractor(s) are required to provide cost information for the Fort Campbell DOL requirements."
LM-96	RFP, Sections L.5.4.2.3 and L.5.2.1.3 and Question Att-3 and Att-20	There are inconsistencies in Section L for the generation of cost, as well as in the Q&As. Section L.5.4.2.3 indicates the cost proposed should be "based on the workload data provided in Attachment 0007, which is an approximate representation of the work that will be required under the contract resulting from this solicitation." Section L.5.2.1.3 indicates that the Offerors are required to identify their proposed labor mix with proposed staffing based on "PWS requirements and provided workload data (Attachment 007)." Questions ATT-3 states the Offerors are to use "information provided with the solicitation (i.e. PWS, workload data, equipment listing) in structuring their proposed costs/prices," while ATT-20 added "exhibits, etc." to these instructions. Significant BOE re-work may be required depending on the Government's response to these inconsistencies. The Government needs to align the sections in Section L that provide instructions to the Offerors for generating our cost, and provide a day for day extension to the RFP response to support anticipated rework. Will the Government please issue a Section L that removes these inconsistencies?	The Government does not believe there are inconsistencies. Section L.5.2.1.3 references "PWS requirements" which include all referenced Exhibits within the PWS, to include the equipment listing provided at Exhibit D. Section L will not be changed.
LM-97	Amendment 0005, Page 6 of 17, Paragraph L.4.2.1(vi)	The Government has added the requirement to provide subcontractor Facility Security Clearance Letters in addition to that of the BOA Holder. Does the Government want the Facility Security Clearance letters for the approved Named Team Members, additional subcontractors, or both?	Please refer to LM-93.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

RFP Questions			
Question #	RFP Reference	QUESTION	ANSWER
RFP-1	Solicitation Section A g., Page 2	Should this read - Major subcontractor the same as those listed in the primes BOA Step 2 that will perform in excess of 20% of the total contract value?	No -The definition of a teammate can be found in Section A, Paragraph g of the solicitation. The definition of a major subcontractor can be found in Amendment 0002, Section A, Paragraph 2.
RFP-2	Solicitation Section I-152 (c) (1), Page 24	As the Mission-Essential Contractor Services Plan is not included in Section L of the Solicitation, shouldn't it be provided after contract award?	No. Please refer to Amendment 0004, Section L. Paragraph L.5.2.1.1.3.
RFP-3	Solicitation Section F-5 (d) (1), Page 9	Since the RFID tags are GFE and encoded with a unique tag ID at the factory, how/why is the DOL contractor being held responsible for ensuring that it is a unique ID?	This clause was removed from the solicitation via Amendment 0002.
RFP-4	RFP Section I - Contract Clauses, pg 18	I-142 Allowable Cost and Payment, I-143 Option to Extend Services, and I-144 Payment for Overtime Premiums on page 18 of the RFP are incomplete/missing - will the Government please clarify?	These clauses were incorporated by-reference into the solicitation. The full text version of these clauses can be found in the FAR.
RFP-5	1-H, STEP THREE TASK ORDER REQUEST, Paragraph d)	States "Step Two Offerors were required to demonstrate the capability to perform all three functional areas. That capability may have been achieved with or without teammates. Any approved teammates listed in the Offerors in Attachment 0002 – Team Arrangement, may be utilized to perform any of the functions in Step Three. The Government will verify that the offeror's proposal includes approved teammates by comparing the Offeror's proposal to their BOA Attachment 0002 – Team Arrangement. Question: It is understood that the offeror can use any teammates from Attachment 0002 to perform any portion of a task order that is issued. Could you please clarify this interpretation of the solicitation?	Yes - Refer to Amendment 0002, Section H, Paragraph 1-H(d).
RFP-6	The EAGLE BOA Step 2 solicitation, page 9	The EAGLE BOA Step 2 solicitation, page 9 of 15, states, "Do not identify subcontractors that are only required to achieve socioeconomic subcontracting goals or other goals not related to capability." Neither the BOA nor the solicitation appears to contain an exception allowing for additional teammates to be bid expressly to meet socioeconomic subcontracting goals. Please provide clarification.	Refer to Amendment 0002, Section H, Paragraph 1-H(d).
RFP-7	The EAGLE BOA Step 2 solicitation, page 9	The EAGLE BOA Step 2 solicitation, page 9 of 15, states, "Do not identify subcontractors that are only required to achieve socioeconomic subcontracting goals or other goals not related to capability." If a teammate was identified in our Step 2 proposal for a specific capability, can that same teammate also be proposed in our response for additional capability areas if specifically needed to meet the government's stated socioeconomic subcontracting goals.	Yes - Refer to Amendment 0002, Section H, Paragraph 1-H(d).
RFP-8		Can a Prime BOA holder add a subcontractor that is not currently on the BOA team for areas that are sub elements of an overall function (Supply, Maintenance, Transportation) that the Prime BOA holder demonstrated the capability to perform without subcontractors under Step 2? In other words, can a Prime BOA holder have a new subcontractor perform work in addition to the Prime performing work in a specific functional area. Adding a new subcontractor could serve to enhance performance of the team if it was in addition to the Prime's participation.	The Prime BOA holder may propose a new subcontractor to perform the work in ONLY those functional areas where they demonstrated capability without the reliance of teammates. Please refer to Amendment 0002, Section H. Paragraph 2-H for proposing subcontractors for the purpose of meeting the Offeror's Subcontracting Participation Plan.
RFP-9	RFP: Page 30, I-158b & Page 33, I-163c	These clauses require the "offerer" to fill in blanks with a list all Hazardous Materials to be used under the contract. Is this a requirement to be evaluated as part of the proposal (i.e., Offeror's best guess), or a requirement to provide the list after contract award when the information could be more meaningfully developed following a detailed review of the site?	Please refer to Amendment 0006 which removes these clauses.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

RFP-10	Page 2, Section A (d)	<p>The RFP contains the following schedule:</p> <p>CLIN Service Period of Performance</p> <p>0001 Transition-In Award - 90 DAA</p> <p>0002 - 0007 Base Year 91 DAA - 365 DAA</p> <p>1002 - 1007 Option Year 1 366 DAA - 730 DAA</p> <p>2002 - 2007 Option Year 2 731 DAA - 1095 DAA</p> <p>3002 - 3007 Option Year 3 1096 DAA - 1460 DAA</p> <p>4002 - 4007 Option Year 4 1461 DAA - 1825 DAA</p> <p>We note that the CBA wages change on March 1, 2013. In order to calculate the wage rates and provide the proper CY Indirect Rates, can the government provide the Offerors with an anticipated TO award date?</p>	Please refer to Question Gen-4. Please note the estimated timeframe provided is subject to change.
RFP-11	Campbell H, Paragraph 3_H(b)	Given the transition in period is 90 days, would the Government allow the Contractor to invoice against the transition in CLIN in 3 equal monthly payments? Also, will the Government provide any documentation (i.e. DD254) to evidence completion of the transition in CLIN or will the end of the 90 day transition in period satisfy completion?	Please refer to Question Gen-11 and Amendment 0003, Section H, Paragraph 3-H (b). The end of the 90 day transition period will mark the required end of the transition period, however, completion satisfaction will be determined by COR oversight on whether the contractor completed transition satisfactorily in accordance with PWS requirements.
RFP-12	2-H Contractor Performance Management Review	Request the Government change the Percentage of Fee for Critical Nonconformance to a specific percentage reduction. Having an unknown fee reduction subject to the PCO determination is excessive and unreasonable. Request the Government include maximum fee reduction per month of 25%. This will allow the Government to still provide a penalty and allow the Contractor to recoup some amount of fee.	At this time, the Government does not intend to include a maximum fee reduction.
RFP-13	2-H Contractor Performance Management Review	Section 2-H indicated the Government will assess at least a 10% monthly penalty for critical nonconformance. If the contractor received a critical nonconformance CDR and is able to correct the CDR in a week, will the contractor lose the entire monthly fixed fee?	No not necessarily. As stated in Section H, Paragraph 2-H(c), "Contractor's fee will be assessed not less than 10% (ten percent) of the monthly fixed fee in which the critical nonconformance occurred. PCO determination will address the exact fee decrement based on severity, impact to the effort, contractor willingness to resolve and any other factors as deemed relevant by the PCO".
RFP-14	2-H Contractor Performance Management Review	Will the Government be providing specific fee reduction criteria for a critical non-conformance, major non-conformance and minor non-conformance?	Please refer to the definitions of each element in Section H, Paragraph 2-H(c), Sub-paragraph "Definitions:".
RFP-15	2-H Contractor Performance Management Review	Will the Contractor be assessed a minor non-conformance penalty for missing a single performance requirement standard?	Please refer to Section H, Paragraph 2-H (b) for the escalating system of deficiency reporting. Please refer to Question RFP-14 for definitions of nonconformance.
RFP-16	2-H Contractor Performance Management Review	Is there a Contractor appeal process to discuss the PCO fee reduction assessment? Will the Government allow for a discussion between the Government and Contractor prior to being issued a CDR? How will the resolution of the discrepancy be conveyed to the Contractor? If the Contractor corrects a deficiency in a day, but is not able to have the COR or CO sign off that the discrepancy is resolved for 5 days how will the fee reduction penalty be assessed?	The contractor and PCO will be in constant communication regarding the fee reduction assessments. The PCO will take into consideration the rationale for late resolution when determining when/if a fee reduction is necessary.
RFP-17	2-H Contractor Performance Management Review	Will the Government consider establishing a separate incentive pool for any fixed fee reductions that are taken from the Contractor? This would provide incentive for the Contractor to be rewarded for additional effort beyond the Government expectation and would have no impact on additional costs to the Government.	No.
RFP-18	RFP Section H.3	Will contractor personnel be working with explosives? Is so, what type of explosives?	Contract personnel will be working with Class 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 Explosive ammunition.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

RFP-19	RFP Section I	Request that DFAR 252.219-7004 be added for those Contractors that participate in the Small Business Subcontracting Plan (Test Program). This clause is already included in the EAGLE BOA.	This clause will not be included in the RFP. It will be included at the time of award, if applicable.
RFP-20	Solicitation, Page 18, I-144	FAR Clause 52.222-2 Payment for Overtime Premiums - the full text of this clause is not included. Does the Government intend to provide the full text?	This clause was incorporated by-reference into the solicitation. The full text version of this clause can be found in the FAR.
RFP-21	General, page 3	Request that the Government remove DFAR 252.204-7008 (Export Controlled Items). There is no requirement to provide OCONUS support and this clause is not applicable to this task order.	Per DFARS 204.7304, this clause is to be used in all solicitations and contracts.
RFP-27	Section I	Request FAR 52.228-5 be removed, this clause is not applicable under a Cost Plus Fixed Fee contract.	This clause will not be removed as it was included due to the FFP transition period.
RFP-28	RFP, Section I	Request FAR 52.249-8 be removed, this clause is not applicable under a Cost Plus Fixed Fee contract.	This clause will not be removed as it was included due to the FFP transition period.
RFP-33	RFP: W52P1J-12-R-0112 Page 13 of 55 Section H - Special Contract Requirements, 1-H Section H - Special Contract Requirements, 1-H, Step Three Task Order Request, Paragraph d).	Comment: Section H - Special Contract Requirements, 1-H titled Step Three Task Order Request Paragraph d) states "Step Two Offerors were required to demonstrate the capability to perform all three functional areas. That capability may have been achieved with or without teammates. Any approved teammates listed in the Offerors in Attachment 0002 – Team Arrangement, may be utilized to perform any of the functions in Step Three. The Government will verify that the offeror's proposal includes approved teammates by comparing the Offeror's proposal to their BOA Attachment 0002 – Team Arrangement. Question: L-3 interprets this paragraph to mean that the can use any teammates from Attachment 0002 to perform any portion of a task order that is issued. Could the Government please clarify the meaning of this paragraph?	This interpretation is correct.
RFP-35	RFP, 4-H, page 14	If the Government revokes it assumption of liability, please confirm that any revocation of liability is on a case by case basis and not for the remainder of the contract Period of Performance.	Confirmed.
RFP-37	W52P1J-12-R-0112 Amendment 2 & 3 Section H Paragraph 1-H, EAGLE BUSINESS RULES - STEP THREE TASK ORDER REQUEST - Subparagraph d)	It is stated that "Offeror's may propose subcontractors in addition to what is listed in their Attachment 0002 if that subcontractor is being proposed for the purpose of meeting the Offeror's Subcontracting Participation Plan in accordance with Sections L and M of this Task Order RFP." However in Sections L and M only contains references to the Offeror's Small Business Subcontracting Participation Plan. Are the Section H Paragraph 1-H (d) Subcontracting Participation Plan and the Section L & M Small Business Participation Plan synonymous?	Yes.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

PWS SECTION 1-4 QUESTIONS			
Question #	RFP Reference	QUESTION	ANSWER
PWS-1	PWS Paragraph 1.1.1, Section C-1, Page 1.	Will the Government provide a CLIN for contractor estimated travel or provide a "plug" figure? If the contractor must estimate travel, will the Government provide estimated travel (time and locations)?	Please reference Section L, Paragraph 5.4.3.4 for those elements included in Other Direct Costs.
PWS-2	PWS Paragraph 1.1.1, Section C-1, Page 1.	What are the off-site locations and where are they located?	At this time there are no requirements for off-site locations. Those off-site locations will be determined at the time when a requirement arises.
PWS-3	PWS Paragraph 1.6.2, Section C-1, Page 16	Will the Government provide current internal SOPs for each of these functional areas or will the contractor be required to develop SOPs?	Available external SOPs will be provided to the successful offeror for updating as required. Internal SOPs are the responsibility of the offerors.
PWS-4	PWS Paragraph 1.11.1, Section C-1, Page 22.	Is the contractor responsible for maintaining the STAMIS systems that are used in the maintenance, supply and transportation functional areas?	The contractor is responsible for operator level maintenance (PWS 1.11.2) SASMO is responsible above operator level maintenance.
PWS-5	PWS, Page C-1-15, Para 1.6.1	The QCP is due 30 days after start of work. Does "start of work" mean start of transition-in or start of evaluated period of performance?	Please refer to Amendment 0004 for revised CDRL PM-09.
PWS-6	Section C -1, Page 8, PWS 1.4.1	The referenced paragraph does not indicate what shifts other than normal duty hours the Government requires or desires the contractor to support the proposed workload and/or base population requirements. Please provide required off shift times for each major function (i.e. CIF, TISA, IMD, etc.)	Standard duty hours for DOL activities will be 0730 to 1600. However, other shifts and overtime will be required IAW mission requirements.
PWS-7	Section C-2, Paragraph 1.1.4	How does the Government define "more serious issue"?	Further information about the Corrective Action Reports (CARs) and Contract Discrepancy Reports (CDRs) can be found in Section H, Paragraph 2-H (b) of the solicitation.
PWS-8	Section C-2, Paragraph 1.3.2	Is the Occupational Reliability Program and required CDRL Deliverable? What is considered a suitable ORP program? Request the statement "failure to establish and maintain a suitable ORP will be grounds for negative contract actions up to and including termination." The term suitable is ambiguous given there is no guidance on what an acceptable ORP constitutes.	No, it is not a deliverable. Offerors must determine the requirements of each position (training, education, probity, technical competence, experience requirements) and devise its own program to ensure all employees are meet those requirements.
PWS-9	Section C-2, Paragraph 1.3.3	Are their specific physical requirements the Government requires or is this the Contractor's judgment?	Specific physical requirements are based on the contractor's judgement.
PWS-10	Section C-2, Paragraph 1.3.1	Please confirm that the random drug screening required after contract award will be an allowable cost to the contract.	No, the Government will not reimburse those items excluded from the ODC definition. Please refer to Section L, Paragraph 5.4.3.4.
PWS-11	Section C-2, Paragraph 1.3.1	Will the Government assist in expediting non-key contractor personnel clearances?	No.
PWS-12	Section C-2, Paragraph 1.5.6	Request that the Government include the following statement "The contractors total liability for any lost, unauthorized use or duplication of keys will be limited to the value of the task order."	This statement will not be added to the PWS.
PWS-13	Section C-2, Paragraph 1.7	Please confirm that the Contractor will be entitled to perform an Environmental Baseline Review during the transition-in period and any issues or correction will be addressed and corrected by the COR/CO prior to the start of the base period.	This is correct.
PWS-14	Section C-2, Paragraph 1.7	What types of hazardous materials with Contractor personnel be handling? Request the Government provide a listing of the hazardous materials that the Contractor will be handling.	Please refer to Amendment 0005.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

PWS-15	Section C-2, Paragraph 1.7	To what extent is the Contractor responsible for dispositioning hazardous material? Is there an on-site central collection point that the Contractor will take the hazardous material/waste to for Government disposition? Will the Government be transporting hazardous material/waste off base for disposal?	The contractor is only responsible for temporary storage of HAZMAT or HAZWASTE. The contractor is responsible for management of these sites IAW Federal, State, and Army regulations and local policy.
PWS-16	Section C-2, Paragraph 1.8	The Draft submission of the Safety Plan indicates "Draft copy shall be submitted 30 days before contract performance start date." Should this be changed to read "30 days after contract award" or "30 days prior to the start Base Year start date"?	Please refer Amendment 0004 for revised CDRL PM-16.
PWS-17	Section C-3, Paragraph 3.1.1	Please confirm that the Government will be providing working space, equipment, supplies and network access for contractor personnel.	Yes.
PWS-18	Section C-3, Paragraph 3.1.3	Paragraph 3.1.3 indicated "The contractor shall accept government provided on-hand Automated Information Systems (AIS) hardware and software without exception." Please confirm that if this Government AIS hardware/software is not operational, the Government will replace the hardware/software and the contractor will not be penalized for any delay awaiting the replacement.	This is correct.
PWS-19	Section C-3, Paragraph 3.1.1	How will the COR or government property administrator determine if a Contractor's property management practices are inadequate? If the Contractor has a Government-approved property management system, is that sufficient?	The Government will utilize FAR clause 52.245-1 to determine adequate property management practices. Contractors must have a management system that properly tracks, reports and safeguards government property using PBUSE.
PWS-21	Section C-3, Paragraph 3.5.7	Please confirm that the safety and protective equipment will be purchased using the ODC CLIN.	Confirmed, if required IAW OSHA and the CBA.
PWS-23	PWS, Page C-1-15, Para 1.6.1	The QCP is due 30 days after start of work. Does "start of work" mean start of transition-in or start of evaluated period of performance?	Please refer to Amendment 0004 for revised CDRL PM-09.
PWS-24	Solicitation, page 39 of 55, paragraph L-9 and PWS, page 9, paragraph 1.4.2	Section L-9 (b) states "The offeror shall provide with its offer a written plan describing how it will continue to perform the essential contractor services listed in Attachment 0008, Mission Essential Contractor Services, dated 13 July 2012, during periods of crisis." PWS paragraph 1.4.2 also includes requirements to provide a Contingency Plan. However, the proposal instructions in Section L do not provide any guidance about the Contingency Plan (e.g., page limits, contents) or instructions for submission, nor does Section M provide evaluation criteria. Please clarify if the Contingency Plan/Continuity of Operations Plan is to be provided with our proposals. If so, please provide the Section L guidance and Section M evaluation criteria.	Please refer to Question LM-13.
PWS-25	PWS Section C-1, Para 1.4.5, pg 10, Project Management and Supervision	The RFP states, "The contractor shall designate and have on-site a professional, highly experienced Project Manager (PM) no later than the start of work and throughout the period of performance of this effort. Will the government provide the awarded contractor with an on-site (i.e., Fort Campbell) program management office? Additionally, during transition, will the government provide the incoming (awarded) contractor office space on the Ft Campbell installation to facilitate transition/phase in activities?"	Yes.
PWS-26	W52P1J-12-R-0112 Performance Work Statement, Section C-1 Page 4, Paragraph 1.3.2.1	In this paragraph, it states that the Government has determined key and essential positions with minimum experience, education and or credential requirements that will be required. It then states that the contractor should identify which positions are key. To maintain consistency with the Government's requirements for known key positions, will the government please identify which positions are considered key?	No, the government will not identify key positions. Please refer to Amendment 0006 which revises the referenced PWS paragraph 1.3.2.1.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

PWS-27	W52P1J-12-R-0112 Performance Work Statement, Section C-1 Page 4, Paragraph 1.3.2.1.1	In this paragraph, it states that the Government has determined specified non-key positions with minimum experience and knowledge that will be required. It then states that the contractor should identify specified non-key positions. To maintain consistency with the Government's requirements for known non-key positions, will the government please identify which positions are considered non-key?	No, the government will not identify non-key positions. Please refer to Amendment 0006 which revises the referenced PWS paragraph 1.3.2.1.1.
PWS-28	RFP: W52P1J-12-R-0112 Page: 34 of 55 PWS Para 1.10.3	Question: Could the Government please provide the LIS that the DOL uses to monitor GFE/P that is not in SAMS-1E or PBUSE that the Offeror will have to include in our maintenance plan? Please further clarify if it is a commercial system such as Maximo?	Currently there is no dedicated LIS for the management of GFE not in PBUSE. Offeror's GFE Maintenance plan (PWS 1.10.3 & CDRL PM-23) requirement should be commensurate with the complexity / amount of the GFE being managed (i.e. SAMS-IE or spreadsheet based). The government does not anticipate a system such as MAXIMO being required at this time.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

PWS SECTION 5 QUESTIONS			
Question #	RFP Reference	QUESTION	ANSWER
PWS5-1	PWS Paragraph 5.12.1.5, Section C-5, Page 24.	Are these inspections only at the SSMO warehouse facility or at all supported facilities?	Yes - inspections are only at SSMO warehouse facility.
PWS5-2	PWS Paragraph 5.16.4, Section C-5, Page 28.	Will the Government provide conductive footwear? Will the Government provide the equipment for electrical testing of conductive shoes?	The Government will provide conductive footwear that is on hand at the time of award, additional conductive will be procured on an as needed basis by the contractor and is reimbursable as an ODC (with proper pre-approvals).
PWS5-3	PWS Paragraph 5.16, Section C-5, Page 27.	Is the contractor responsible for ASP security?	No - the Contractor is not responsible for ASP security.
PWS5-4	PWS Paragraph 5.22.2, Section C-5, Page 52.	Does this mean that the contractor is co-located with the travel services contractor and will provide all official travel requirements? If the contractor is to provide DOD travel services, what is a multi-service travel office?	Please refer to Amendment 0005, revised PWS paragraph 5.22.
PWS5-5	PWS Paragraph 5.22.7, Section C-5, Page 53.	Will the Government provide workload data so that the contractor can determine if this is a full time requirement?	The available workload data was provided in Attachment 0007.
PWS5-6	PWS Section C-5, Page 2, Paragraph 5.3.2	Please verify TE - 0004 is Exhibit D	Please refer to Question PWS5-14.
PWS5-7	PWS Section C-5, Page 3, Paragraph 5.3.4.1	Please verify TE - 0005 is Exhibit E	Please refer to Question PWS5-14.
PWS5-8	PWS, Section C-5, pg 25, Para 5.13.3 Individual Chemical Equipment Management Program (ICEMP) Warehouse Operations.	ICEMP Workload for this PWS paragraph is not provided. Will the USG provide unique workload for the tasks to be performed from this area of the PWS?	Please refer to Pages 8 & 9 of the workload data, under the heading "Individual Chemical Equipment Management Program."
PWS5-9	Attachment 0001 - Fort Campbell FCKY EAGLE PWS, Section C – 5 Page 51, Para C.5.21.4	Will the Government please provide the type and quantities of trailer-mounted lights sets and any associated workload data that will be stored, issued, received and maintained under this section?	Please refer to the "additional workload data" provided in Attachment 0007 via Amendment 0005. The quantity of lights sets is 36. There are two manufacturers: Ingersoll-Rand and Coleman.
PWS5-10	Attachment 0001 - Fort Campbell FCKY EAGLE PWS, Section C – 5 Pages 52-53, Para C.5.22.4	Will the Government please clarify if the Contractor whether the requirement to "provide on-site assistance and inspection of commercial equipment for each commercial transport" Is limited to equipment provided on Fort Campbell or all locations, wherever commercial equipment is being provided?	This requirement is specific to commercial buses and is only applicable to those commercial assets on the Installation.
PWS5-11	PWS, Section 5.3.4 Maintenance Support Teams, Section C-5 Page 3	What Equipment and what densities do the maintenance support teams repair? Is the equipment limited to vehicles or does it include weapons, power generation equipment and COMMEL?	The list of types of equipment to be repaired for all programs is given in Exhibit D (Equipment Listing). Man-hours required are given in the workload under "Maintenance Support Teams" and are given by skill sets required. At this time the program only repairs vehicles and trailers. There is no "density list" that is relative to this requirement.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

PWS5-12	PWS, Section 5.14.3, Section C-5 Page 25	What is the CRP workload?	The CRP workload is furnished in the ISD Material Distribution and retail Supply Services portion of the workload data provided in Attachment 0007.
PWS5-13	PWS, Section 5.3.3 - Special Programs, Section C-5 Page 2	PDTE is listed as one of IMD's Special Programs in the PWS. It seems that this is an ongoing program as it was a part of the conducted on 10/23/2012. On Attachment 0007- Workload Data, There are no estimated hours listed for PDTE. Will we be required to staff this operation? Also, during the tour in that same complex, there was a property accountability section. Is this a part of the PAAT or a part of PDTE?	PDTE maintenance workload is included in the Base Shop workload by commodity type/man-hours. The property accountability piece for PDTE is not part of the PAAT, but should be proposed in the indirect personnel piece of the maintenance workload.
PWS5-14	PWS, Section C-5, Pages 2 and 3, Paragraphs 5.3.2 and 5.3.4.1	These paragraphs refer to TE-0004 and TE-0005. We cannot find these documents in the solicitation. Could you please provide them?	Please refer to Amendment 0004 as the PWS was revised to reflect the applicable Exhibits as stated in Section J of the solicitation.
PWS5-15	Section C-5, Paragraph 5.2.2.2 and 5.4.5	What types of weapons and ammunition will the contractor be handling? Will contractor personnel be required to carry a side arm when working in the weapons room? Are the weapons and ammunition stored in the same location or are weapons and ammunition stored in separate buildings? Please confirm that contractor personnel will not be firing weapons.	Contractor Armorers and maintenance personnel will be handling pistols, rifles, shotguns, Machine guns, mortars, and other weapons authorized on the Division, TF-160th and 5th SF MTOES. Contractor personnel will not be required to carry side arms at any time. Ammunition is not stored with weapons in any DOL facility; ammunition is only stored in the ASP. There is no requirement for contract personnel to fire a weapon.
PWS5-16	Section C-5, Paragraph 5.2.3	Request this clause be removed, any rework would be completed in accordance with the applicable FAR Inspection clauses in Section E (FAR 52.246-4 Inspection of Services-Fixed Price and FAR 52.246-5 Inspection of Services-Cost Reimbursable).	Please refer to Amendment 0006 which removes PWS paragraph 5.2.3.
PWS5-17	PWS, Page C-5-1, Para 5.1.1; PWS, Page C-5-2, Para 5.3.3	Are all represented workers on this contract members of the AFGE Union? If not, will the government provide any other CBAs that could affect bidders' proposals?	Additional CBA's were provided in Attachment 0005.
PWS5-18	PWS Section C-5, Page 27, Paragraph 5.15.5, Fuel Lab and Attachment 0007- Workload Data.	The PWS states that offerors are to operate a certified (MIL-STD-3004) laboratory fuel analysis and associated supporting functions, yet a review of Attachment 0007 does not reflect any workload for this requirement. Will the Government provide the workload for Fuel Lab and "Associated Supporting Functions mentioned in the PWS?	Please refer to Amendment 0005 for revised workload data.
PWS5-19	PWS Section C-5, para C.5.3.4, pg 3	The government states that "the contractor shall provide maintenance teams on-site, usually at unit maintenance facilities, for the repair of tactical and non-tactical equipment and the performance of associated functions Team skill set composition and duration will be determined by the Chief, IMD." For planning purposes and fairness, request that we receive the same schedule for MSTs to include duration and unit that the incumbent contractor has received over the past year to include the present and any future MST schedules and /or requests established by the Chief, IMD, to ensure an even playing field.	Maintenance Support Teams (MSTs) are comprised of Automotive Mechanics and SAMS-1E Clerks. Estimated annual man-hour requirements for each is given in the IMD anticipated workload in Attachment 0007. Current contractor schedules for this support is not pertinent to this proposal.
PWS5-20	Att 1, PWS; Page Section C-5, Page 8; PWS paragraph 5.8 Production Control	ATT 1 provides the requirement to establish and operate a Production Control Office. Question: Is the contractor going to be required to operate two (2) Production Control Offices on the resulting contract?	It is up to the contractor to determine how they will support this requirement.
PWS5-21	Att1, PWS; Page Section C-5, Page 50, PWS paragraph 5.19, Personal Property Operation	ATT 1 provides the requirements for the operation of the Personal Property Office. ATT 7 provides the workload associated with this requirement. Question: How much of this workload is going to be transferred to the JPPSO over the next year?	Specifics are unknown at this time. Proposed JPPSO consolidation is not (currently) planned until CY 2014.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

PWS5-22	PWS, Section C-5 Page 2, Paragraph 5.3.3	PWS paragraph 5.3.3 states that the contractor shall perform maintenance and maintenance special programs that currently include but are not limited to Reset, Left Behind Equipment, and Pre-deployment training equipment(PDTE) support. Will Government provide historical workload data by each special program to estimate this requirements?	No. Estimated workload (numbers of jobs) and estimated direct labor hours by commodity are presented in the IMD workload which includes workload for these programs as applicable. Man-hours for these programs is included in the Base Maintenance portion of the IMD workload.
PWS5-23	Under PWS 5.14 - Material Distribution and Retail Supply Services, in PWS para: 5.14.3	PWS paragraph 5.14.3 describes the tasks for the CRP. Will the US Government provide historical workload for the unique to the CRP?	Please refer to PWS5-12.
PWS5-24	Under PWS 5.14 - Material Distribution and Retail Supply Services, in PWS para: 5.14.5.2	The PWS paragraph 5.14.5.2 describes the tasks to "handle repairable items to include management of receipts of repairable items and issue/ship them to the appropriate repair/maintenance program, and return to stock in accordance with National Maintenance Program guidance." Will the Government provide historical workload for the repairable items?	Workload for the NMP program is included in the ISD workload in Attachment 0007 and is listed as "The number of open work orders in SARSS compared to the open work orders in maintenance."
PWS5-25	Under PWS 5.15 - Hazardous Material (HAZMAT), in PWS para: 5.15.4	The PWS paragraph 5.15.4 describes the task to "identify issue, receive, verify, store, turn in, ship and dispose of radioactive material and HAZMAT". Will the US Government provide historical workload for radioactive material?	The anticipated annual workload for radioactive materiel is 550 pieces/yr of various pieces to include Lamp Nuclear, Laser Designator, Alarm Chemical M43A1, Drift Tube Module, Sight Unit, Mortar 40MM M 224, Monitor Chem Agent, Detector Chem ADP 2000, Alignment Device, Mount Telescope, Module, Drift Tube, Chemical Agent Monitor, Mount telescope, Light Aiming Post, Sight Unit M64A1, Elbow Telescope, Light Aiming post 1059, Thermal Receiver, Detector Kit.
PWS5-26	Under PWS 5.15 - Hazardous Material (HAZMAT), in PWS para: 5.15.5	The PWS paragraphs under 5.15.5 (5.15.5, 5.15.5.1, & 5.15.5.2) describing the tasks for "laboratory fuel analysis and associated supporting functions." Will the US Government provide historical workload for fuel laboratory functions?	Please refer to Amendment 0005 for revised workload data.
PWS5-27	Under PWS 5.16 - Ammunition Supply Services, in PWS para: 5.16.6	PWS paragraphs under 5.16.6 describe the tasks for the contractor to "process Government Bill of Lading (GBL)." Will the US Government provide historical workload for Government Bill of Lading (GBL)?	The workload data provided in Attachment 0007 includes GBL data under the Transportation Division workload.
PWS5-28	PWS, C-5 pg 3, 5.3.4.1	This section refers to TE-0005. Will the Government please provide this Technical Exhibit as it references to the minimum requirement for tools associated with the MST? TE-0005 will also assist in determining the tool requirement under paragraph 4.1.1.	Please refer to Exhibit E - Individual Tool Sample Listing. Also, please note that Amendment 0004 has revised the PWS to replace TE-0005 with Exhibit E.

Attachment Questions			
Question #	RFP Reference	QUESTION	ANSWER
Att-1	Attachment 9	The Collective Bargaining Agreement does not include the scope of this bargaining unit. Are work groups outside the bargaining unit included in this solicitation (not withstanding supervisors, management, etc) ?	Offerors are allowed to propose labor categories not covered in the Collective Bargaining Agreement. Please refer to Section I, FAR 52.222-41, Service Contract Act of 1965.
Att-2	Attachment 9	Are there additional Collective Bargaining Agreements for subcontractors of DynCorp under the current contract?	Yes, Please refer to Amendment 0005.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Att-3	Attachment 007 Workload Data	To allow for the most accurate staffing estimate, will the Government provide maintenance workload data that differentiates by type to include SAMS-IE reports on maintenance, repair part orders, etc., be included in the workload data so we can gain an understanding on the workload density as the incumbent presently possesses. Recommend each of the Shops, A-W add sufficient detail in regards to type. For instance, Shop Q - paint shop, what type of vehicles were painted during the previous year? Each different vehicle takes different amounts of prep time (masking, taping, etc). Another example....Shop T...how many tires and type/year? How many batteries and type/year?	It is up to the Offerors to use the information provided with the solicitation (i.e. PWS, workload data, equipment listing) in structuring their proposed costs/prices.
Att-4	Attachment 0009	Are all represented workers on this contract members of the AFGE Union? If not, will the government provide any other CBAs that could affect bidders' proposals?	The applicable CBA was provided as Attachment 0009 to the solicitation.
Att-5	Task Order RFP Attachment 0007, Page 1, IMD Projected Workload	Assuming the direct labor hours for IMD repair/shop maintenance were pulled from the SAMS database, there is a potential that workload such as LBE and RESET hours could be included in the workload baseline. Are all bidders to use the maintenance direct hours provided, as shown in Attachment 007, in their totality, to estimate their direct labor staffing and costs?	The estimated workload data has been provided to the Offerors in effort to assist in proposing costs for the requirements of this solicitation. It is up to the Offeror how they use the information provided when structuring their proposed costs/prices.
Att-6	Task Order RFP Attachments 0009 and 0012	Are bidders who do not have a negotiated agreement with the union required to bid CBA rates?	Yes, IAW FAR 22.1002-3, Wage Determinations Based on Collective Bargaining Agreements.
Att-7	Task Order RFP, Attachment 0007, Supply Workload, last page, Special Projects	Are the projected Supply Special Projects direct manhours shown on the last page of the Supply Workload additive to the other Supply projected workload shown on the preceding pages? Is this workload to be included in bidders total projected staffing and costs in their Task Order response submission? If this workload is to be priced, would the government consider adding another CLIN to capture projected surge workload?	Please refer to Question Att-5 and Question B-9.
Att-9	Task Order RFP, Attachment 0009	Will the government provide a seniority roster for all represented workers to be used in cost calculations?	IAW FAR 22.1020, a seniority list will be provided to the successor contractor at the commencement of the succeeding contract.
Att-10	Task Order RFP, Attachment 0009	Will the government please advise if offerers are to use the 2012 or 2013 rates in their price proposal?	Please refer to Question RFP-10.
Att-12	Attachment 0009	Does the CBA (Attachment 0009) apply to all non-exempt positions?	Please see CBA for applicability.
Att-13	Attachment 007 - Workload Data Sheet 1 through Sheet 28	Are the manhours associated with performing the workload shown in Sheets 2 through 28 included in the manhours shown in Sheet 1? Please list by Sheet number/data item which Sheets/data items are not included.	No. Page 1 is purely workload for the Maintenance Division, pages 2 - 16 are for the Supply Division, and pages 16 - 27 are for the Transportation Division.
Att-14	Fort Campbell- AFGE Local No. 2022 Collective Bargaining Agreement	Will the government provide any documented items referenced in Article 3.3 of the Ft. Campbell AFGE Local No. 2022 Collective Bargaining agreement?	All available documentation was provided as attachments to this solicitation.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Att-15	Attachement 7, Maintenance Workload	<p>Is there Maintenance Workload for the following PWS paragraphs ?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5.4.1.1 Arms Room 5.4.2.1 Load Testing 5.4.2.2 A/C and Refrigeration 5.4.2.4 Emergency Vehicles (are these in the automotive end items?) 5.4.3.1 Calibration/Maint of Speed Radar 5.4.3.2 Install/Remove Electronics on MP Vehicles (are these in the automotive end items?) 5.4.3.3 Fuel/Water Drum Facility 5.5.2 Welding & Inspection 5.5.3 Metal Machining Ops 5.5.4 Fabrication 5.5.5 Classified Container Maint 5.5.9 Glass Repair 5.6.3 Inspect/Certify MILVANS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5.4.1.1 Arms Room (Shop R) includes hours for Arms Room administrative tasks 5.4.2.1 Load Testing (Shop H) 5.4.2.2 A/C and Refrigeration (Shop P) 5.4.2.4 Emergency Vehicles (are these in the automotive end items?) Yes, (Shop A) 5.4.3.1 Calibration/Maint of Speed Radar (Shop S) 5.4.3.2 Install/Remove Electronics on MP Vehicles (are these in the automotive end items?) Y 5.4.3.3 Fuel/Water Drum Facility (Shop B) 5.5.2 Welding & Inspection (Shop M) 5.5.3 Metal Machining Ops (Shop M) 5.5.4 Fabrication (Shop M) 5.5.5 Classified Container Maint (Shop M) 5.5.9 Glass Repair (Shop Q) 5.6.3 Inspect/Certify MILVANS (Shop M)
Att-16	Attachment 0009 - CBA Agreement Attachment 0012 - DOL Wage Determination	The solicitation provided a DOL wage determination and a Collective Bargaining agreement. Is there a listing by PWS for which job titles are subject to the SCA wage determination and which are subject to the CBA?	The CBA lists the job titles subject to the CBA. All other job titles not included in the CBA would default to the SCA Wage Determination.
Att-17	Attachment 0009 - CBA Agreement Attachment 0012 - DOL Wage Determination	The CBA agreement and the SCA Wage determination both indicate that vacation earned is based on continuous years of service for their current contractor or for a successor contractor. In order to calculate the vacation earned, can the government provide a listing by job title of the years of service for incumbent personnel subject to either the CBA agreement or the SCA wage determination?	IAW FAR 22.1020, a seniority list will be provided to the successor contractor at the commencement of the succeeding contract.
Att-18	RFP Attachment 0009	We understand that Kentucky is a right-to-work state; however, the CBA states that all employees must be represented by a union. There is some ambiguity regarding what positions are covered by the CBA and those not, giving a clear estimating and pricing advantage to incumbent contractors. Attachment 0009 indicates "all regular hourly full-time employees in the job classifications in Appendix A" recognize the Union as the sole and exclusive bargaining representative. Would the Government provide any hourly positions that are not covered under the CBA?	No.
Att-18	Attachment 0009, CBA	Please confirm that the Offeror should use the current CBA rates for bidding the CBA positions for the base and each option period. Should the Offeror assume a specific escalation rate for each option year?	Please refer to RFP-10.
Att-19	Attachment 0007, Workload Data	The subtotals and GRAND TOTALS provided for number of jobs and quantity of Estimated Direct Man-Hours provided in the IMD Projected Workload are totaled incorrectly. The estimated number of jobs provided for the DOL Base Shops SUB-TOTAL is 16,651 (vs. 17,862), while the GRAND TOTAL is 21,571 (vs. 22,782). The Estimated Direct Man-Hours SUB-TOTAL is 169,872 (vs. 187,872), while the GRAND TOTAL is 476,021 (vs. 494,261).	Please refer to Amendment 0003, Revised Attachment 0007.
Att-20	Attachment 0007, Workload Data	Attachment 0007 contains number of jobs by shop and estimated direct man hours, but does not provide the numbers of various types and specific models of equipment that made up these totals. Can the Government provide this data to allow the Offeror to accurately forecast numbers and types of mechanics based on our past performance?	It is up to the Offerors to use the information provided with the solicitation (i.e. PWS, workload data, exhibits, etc.) in structuring their proposed costs/prices.
Att-21	Attachment 0009, CBA	Attachment 0009 Fort Campbell CBA does not include the negotiated job descriptions for each of the represented classifications. Can the Government provide these so the contractor can appropriately allocate the work by classification?	No additional descriptions are available.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

ATT-24	RFP Attachment 0002	Can the Offeror identify itself within Attachment 0002 since the attachment is officially part of the Technical Volume and the Government's instructions state only the front cover of the Technical response can identify the offeror?	Please refer to Question LM-42.
ATT-28	Task Order RFP Attachments 0009 and 0012	Are bidders who do not have a negotiated agreement with the union required to bid CBA rates?	Yes.
ATT-30	Task Order RFP, Attachment 0007	The DOL Base Shops Sub-totals for the IMD Repair Shop/Program list workload data of 17,862 and 187, 872 (respectively). These numbers do not equal the data listed in Estimated Number of Jobs and Estimated Direct Man-Hours. Is there information missing? Will the Government please provide a corrected Attachment 007?	Please refer to Question Att-19.
ATT-32	Attachment 0007, Transportation Division Annual Project Workload Table.	We are unable to identify any workload for surge, augmentation or assistant type requirements (e.g. A/DACG, Unit Movement, Rail, Personal Property, etc.) in Attachment 0007 for the ITD mission like those included for IMD and ISD. Will the Government provide any surge/ augmentation/assistant workload direct man-hour requirements for ITD?	No. All available ITD workload data has been identified in Attachment 0007.
ATT-33	Attachment 0007, Page 2 of 27, Line "Required Inventories Performed" and "IARs Processed for Inventory Adjustments"	Provided CIF workload reflects that five (5) inventories were conducted, yet the first column Projected "0" inventories. Furthermore, two (2) IRAs were Processed for Inventory Adjustments" yet the first column Projected "0". Are offerors not to perform any work with regard to Inventories and IRAs or should an average of the 12-month data be used for these items?	Partial inventories were conducted and administrative adjustments reports were done in lieu of inventory adjustment reports. Annual inventories are required. No inventory was conducted in FY 2012. It was conducted after the FY, which resulted in a 0 for the workload column. Annual inventories are required by regulation and Inventory adjustment reports will be used based on the results of that inventory.
ATT-34	RFP Workload Data	There is a requirement for bus driver training in the RFP. However, with no workload, it is unclear whether there is a requirement for bus drivers. Are bus drivers required in this contract?	Workload data for bus driver training is shown in the workload data under Transportation Motor Pool, data is titled 'Bus drivers trained'. Yes, bus drivers are required as part of the A/DACG mission to move passengers. Please refer to Amendment 0004, Attachment 0001 for revised PWS paragraph 5.18.2.
ATT-35	Attachment 0007 Maintenance Workload (Data Shop S)	The workload data in Att 0007 indicates an Electronics workload, however it is not clear from review of the PWS (Paras 5.4.3.1, 5.4.3.2) and the Site Survey whether this is only MP Radar equipment and electronic equipment for emergency vehicles or if the DOL performs repair of tactical communications-electronics equipment. Does the DOL provide repair of tactical communications -electronics equipment?	Yes, the DOL does provide repair of tactical communications-electronics equipment that is commonly found on a tactical Army unit's MTOE. A general listing of type equipment supported, to include communications gear, is included in Exhibit D (Equipment Listing). In addition, numbers of jobs completed and estimated annual man-hours required for repair of this type equipment is given in Shop S (Electronics) of the IMD workload.
ATT-36	Attachment 7	On the last page of Attachment 7 there is a list of Special Projects (CIF & Retail Supply Surge, Tactical SSA Augmentation and the PAAT). There is no mention of the PAAT team in the Supply Section of the PWS. There is also no specific mention of either Tactical SSA Augmentation or CIF & Retail Supply Surges. Is there workload data associated with these activities? Should these items be priced? If so, should they be priced separately from the primary pricing?	Please see ATT-49.
ATT-37	Attachment 7	Could you provide the Offerors with historical data as to the average number of hazardous waste spills that the incumbent had to respond to over the past 5 years?	The present contractor has had to respond to zero (0) "Reportable" HAZMAT spills over the last 5 years.
ATT-38	Attachment 0007 - Workload Data.pdf, Page 1	Please clarify if the workload data for the National Maintenance Program (NMP) is inclusive or exclusive of non-direct personnel (i.e., supply, production control, supervisors, etc.).	NMP workload data is exclusive of non-direct personnel (i.e., supply, production control, supervisors, etc.). These skill sets will be in addition to the man-hours provided for NMP.
ATT-39	Attachment 0007 - Workload Data.pdf, Page 1	Please confirm that the workload data for the Pre-Deployment Training Equipment (PDTE) is included within the workload data provided. If not request the Government provide separate PDTE workload data.	PDTE maintenance workload is included in the Base Shop workload by commodity type/man-hours.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

ATT-42	Attachment 0007, Workload Data, page 1	The PWS addresses the maintenance work of emergency equipment. During the site visit contractors were shown the maintenance shed for the emergency equipment. Within Attachment 0007 page 1 there is no work load indicated specific to emergency equipment. Can you provide those specifics?	In the IMD workload under the Automotive End Items Shop (Shop A), the hours presented includes the workload for emergency equipment. Of the man-hours presented under Shop A , 7,680 man-hours of these is the estimated requirement for emergency vehicle maintenance.
ATT-43	Attachment 0007, Workload Data, page 1	The PWS addresses the maintenance work of DPW equipment. During the site visit contractors were shown the maintenance shed for the DPW equipment repairs. Within Attachment 0007 page 1 there is no work load indicated specific to DPW equipment. If it was included with the engineer/construction can you advise specifically the breakout for DPW since it is done at a separate facility?	In the IMD workload under "Construction Equipment (Shop E)," the hours presented includes the workload for DPW equipment. Of the man-hours presented under Shop E , 8,640 man-hours of these is the estimated requirement for DPW maintenance.
ATT-44	Attachment_0007 and PWS 5.15.5	The PWS states that the contractor will operate a certified laboratory fuel analysis and associated functions. Can the government provide workload data for the fuel lab?	Please refer to Amendment 0005 for revised workload data.
ATT-45	Attachment 0007	Please provide more specific information on inventory requirements, If Fort Campbell has approximately 28,000 soldiers, a difference of 50 line items at CIF (as an example) can make a difference of FTEs magnified by the type of inventory required. Regarding inventory requirements for the following commodities, please provide the density of equipment (number of line items) to be inventoried and what type of inventory (closed or open) is required? (a) CIF Inventory; (b) CIPBO Inventory; (c) ICEMP Inventory; (d) RSSA Arms Room inventory; and (d) ASP inspections and surveys.	TISA Stock Value: \$1,213,762, Lines 234 with 100% annual Inventory . ASP Stock Value , \$122,933,125, Lines 1842, Inventory "Quarterly., CIF Stock Value \$118,000,000, lines 7543, Inventory Annually. CIPBO Stock Value : \$2,000,000, lines 250, Annual Inventory. Retail Supply Stock Value \$63,000,000, Lines 8282 Inventory 100% Annually, Weapons and sensitive items inventoried monthly. CIF Items are identified in workload charts furnished for CIF.
ATT-47	W52P1J-12-R-0112 RFP, Attachment 0007, Pages 2 through 5	Under the CIF information, some of the titles and associated meanings are unclear. As an example does "Workload for Number of Direct Exchange Customer's Transaction Documents Processed" indicate the number of direct exchanges that occurred or are there multiple documents that are submitted for each customer transaction which will make it difficult to determine the actual number of customers? Is it possible for the Government to provide clearer guidance with regards to projected or average monthly totals for Number of Issue Customers; Number of Turn-in Customers; and Number of Direct Exchange Customers?	Workload for CIF customers was furnished within the ISD workload furnished at Attachment 0007. The Number of Direct Exchange Customer Transaction Documents processed identifies the number of personnel processed as each transaction is for one person. The same response as above is for turn ins, partial turn ins, issues and partial issues. One transaction identifies one customer.
ATT-49	Reference IMD Projected Workload Data, Attch. 7 Special Projects	Under the Supply Section Workload Data is provided for Special projects. There is no reference to special projects in the PWS. Property Accountability Assistant Teams (PAAT), SSA Augmentation, and Surge for CIF and Retail supply are are not discussed in the PWS. Is PAAT a part of this contract? Are the man hours in addition to workload all ready provided for CIF and SSA? Is the surge support funded under the CLINS provided?	Yes, PAAT is part of the contract. Please refer to PWS paragraph 5.15.6 which covers PAAT and SSA Augmentation. Man-hours for all tasks referenced in this question can be found in the ISD workload in Attachment 0007.
ATT-50	Attachment 0001 PWS, Section C – 1 Page 1, paragraph 1.1.2 & Section C-5 Page23, Paragraph 5.10	PWS Paragraph 1.1.2 refers to the "Installation Supply Division (ISD)"; however, PWS paragraph 5.10 refers to the "Installation Supply and Services Division (ISSD)." What is the correct title for this division?	The Installation Supply and Services Division (ISSD) is the official title for the Division. For the purpose of this contract, Installation Supply Division (ISD) is synomonus and interchangeable with ISSD.
ATT-51	Attachment 0001 - Fort Campbell FCKY EAGLE PWS, Section C – 5 Page 54, Para C.5.25.1; Section C – 5 PRS Page 75, "Operate Buses & other Non-Tactical Vehicles.	Will the Government please provide workload associated with any special missions, shuttle bus services, or other requirements where the Contractor must provide drivers?	Please refer to the revised Attachment 0007, workload data, provided in Amendment 0006.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

ATT-52	Attachment 0001 - Fort Campbell FCKY EAGLE PWS, Section C – 5 Page 50, Para C.5.18.2	Will the Government please clarify the hours of operation and the Contractor's working hours for the A/DACG?	Normal/regular duty hours are as prescribed in paragraph 1.4.1 of the PWS. A/DACG hours of operation may vary to meet mission requirements.
ATT-55	Attachment 1, PWS, p-54, par 5.25	There was no TMP density list provided. Can one be provided?	A density list will not be provided.
ATT-56	Attachment 1, PWS, p-54, par 5.25	Is there any requirement for bus/van shuttles, taxi movements, or is vehicles simply dispatched out for both short and long term requirements?	The PWS does not include any requirements for bus/van shuttle service or taxi service. Vehicles are dispatched for both recurring and non-recurring requirements.
ATT-57	Attachment 1, PWS, p-10, par 1.4.5	Does the Gov't provide on-site facilities and selected equipment in support of the PM and staff?	Yes.
ATT-58	Attachment 0002, Staffing Labor Mix	Instructions for Attachment 0002 state "If labor category is not listed, insert row and highlight in yellow (font color / fill; see below)", however if more than one labor category is added, the alternating cell / row is greyed out. Can the offeror alter cells below line 51, all with yellow fill to identify the added labor categories as instructed?	For any categories added where the cells are automatically gray, please use Yellow font . If the cells added are white, please use Yellow fill.
ATT-59	Attachment 0009	The referenced attachment is a Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) between the AFL-CIO and DynCorp International. It is our understanding that a second CBA exists relevant to this effort. Will the Government issue a copy of the second CBA?	Please refer to Amendment 0005 for additional CBAs.
ATT-65	RFP: W52P1J-12-R-0112 PAGE: 34 of 55 PARAGRAPH REF.: Section J - List of Attachments, Attachment 0007 - Workload Data.	Question: Could the Government please provide the amount of overtime currently being worked on this program and historically?	No, this information will not be provided.
ATT-67	Under Attachment 0007, Workload Data	It is our understanding is that National Maintenance Program has been eliminated at Ft Campbell DOL and NMP work transferred to AMC Depot, however maintenance workload data still depicts man hours within DOL. Is the NMP workload data still valid?	The National Maintenance Program has not been eliminated at Ft. Campbell. The NMP workload data provided in Attachment 0007 is valid.
ATT-73	Under Attachment 0007, Workload Data	Under workload data for Transportation Division there is no data for buses. Has the Government outsourced the buses and drivers requirement?	Please see ATT-34.
ATT-75	Collective Bargaining Agreement, Page 31	What is the escalation rate to use beyond 28 February 2014 which is the end of their CBA?	This is up to the contractor's discretion, with supporting data to define the escalation rate determination.
ATT-77	Attachment 0009 CBA and Attachment 0012 DOL Wage Determination	As the CBA supercedes the DOL Wage Determination and offerors cannot reference the DOL Directory of Occupations, please provide job descriptions for the positions identified in the CBA.	Please refer to ATT-21.
ATT-81	Solicitation W52P1J-12-R-0112, (Campbell), CBA, Page 17, Section 13.7	If the successor contractor is to assume the liability for sick leave, can the Government provide the average sick leave balance of the incumbent workforce?	No, this information will not be provided.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

ATT-82	Solicitation W52P1J-12-R-0112, (Campbell), CBA, Pages 13-15, Article 11	What is the average seniority of the incumbent workforce?	IAW FAR 22.1020, a seniority list will be provided to the successor contractor at the commencement of the succeeding contract.
ATT-83	Solicitation W52P1J-12-R-0112, (Campbell), CBA, Page 17, Section 13.7	Can the Government provide the history of the average sick leave hours used per year by the incumbent workforce?	No, this information will not be provided.
ATT-85	Attachment 0009, Fort Campbell DOL CBA, Appendix A	The CBA provided in the RFP package does not have position descriptions and all titles do not relate to SCA position titles. Will position descriptions be provided for the CBA positions?	Please refer to ATT-21.
ATT-88	RFP Section A, para b) on page 2 and Amendment #5 Attachment # 15 and #16	RFP Section A, para b) says "The incumbent contractor is DynCorp International, LLC under contract W911SE-07-D-0005-0002" yet in amendment #5 two (2) additional CBAs were issued that were with ALOG and a different union than DynCorp Int'l has. Is this work currently that ALOG has these CBAs on, part of DynCorp's current contract (W911SE-07-D-005-005) or are the two CBAs in Attachment #15 and #16 for separate contracts that ALOG is the prime on?	The CBA's provided at Attachments 0015 & 0016 are for ALOG as the subcontractor to DynCorp under contract W911SE-07-D-0005-0002.
ATT-92	Amendment #5, Attachment #16 and Attachment #007 (Amd 5)	It is unclear where on the revised Attachment #007 (Amd 5) Work Load Data that the work load data for the PDTE Operations under the CBA sent out with Amendment #5 Attachment #16 is located or if it is on the workload data sheet at all. No workload is identified as PDTE Operations. Please identify where this workload data is on Revised Attachment #0007 (Amd 5) that is support by Attachment #15 or please provide the workload data if it is missing.	Please refer to the note at the top of Page 1 of the workload data.

Exhibit Questions			
Question #	RFP Reference	QUESTION	ANSWER
Ex-1	Exhibit I	Exhibit I is missing from the Solicitation. Will it be included?	Due to system limitations, "I" cannot be chosen as an Exhibit number. Therefore, Exhibit I does not and will not exist.
Ex-2	Exhibit K - PM CDRLs	There is inconsistency and resulting confusion between the terms "after transition-in begins," "after contract performance start," "after contract award date," and "after contract start date." Will the Government define each of these terms, along with "start of work," so offerors can properly sequence the deliverables?	Please refer to Amendment 0004 for revised PM CDRLs.
Ex-3	Exhibit K - CDRL PM-02 - Personnel Qualification/Certification Report	Block 12 states, "Draft copy shall be submitted 15 days after contract performance start date. Govt will review and approve the Draft copy within 15 days. Final copy, with changes in response to Govt comments, shall be submitted on contract performance start date." Will Government please provide correct due dates for Draft and Final reports?	Please refer to Question Ex-2.
Ex-4	Exhibit A Fort Campbell Regulations	The provided link to the website to download the document: http://www.campbell.army.mil/campbell/Safety/Pages/Rugulations.Publications.andPolicies.aspx is not accessible. Please provide the document or the correct website link.	The link provided is correct; however, you must copy and paste the full link into the browser, rather than just clicking on the link.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW

FT. CAMPBELL - W52P1J-12-R-0112 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Ex-5	Exhibit D, Equipment Listing	Exhibit D provides a general list of vehicles and equipment that may require maintenance. In order to conduct accurate bottoms-up estimates of the labor required to maintain this equipment, we need information (TE-0004?)such as the numbers of each type of equipment, age, mileage, etc. Would the government please provide a more detailed listing of the equipment to be maintained?	Exhibit D provides a sufficient representation of the types of equipment to be maintained. Additional information will not be provided. Please refer to the maintenance workload data given in man-hours for each of the 15 DOL maintenance shop/commodity areas, the NMP estimates, and the Maintenance Support Team estimated annual man-hours.
Ex-6	CDRLs	Please confirm that if the Government does not provide acceptance of the CDRL items within the approval timeframe identified on each CDRL document, then the CDRL is deemed accepted. This will eliminate any confusion on what deliverables have been accepted.	This is correct. (DOL ANSWER) The Government will take this request under advisement. (BRIAN ANSWER)
EX-7	Exhibit F	Will the Government provide the current location, serviceability and hand receipt holder for the equipment listed in Exhibit F?	This information will be provided after contract award.
EX-8	Exhibit F	Will the Government provide latest calibration and load testing date for all GFE that is subject to TMDE Requirements?	This information will be provided after contract award.
EX-9	Exhibit F	Will the Government provide a list of all equipment and software for which the contractor is to have licensed personnel to operate?	All software licensing requirements are handled by DOL government IT personnel. Equipment licensing requirements for operation of internal GFE can be determined by analysis of Exhibit-F - DOL GFP Listing. Licensing requirements for supported (maintenance) equipment can be determined by analysis of Exhibit-D - Equipment Listings which lists possible equipment types that contractors will have to repair/service and thus move within the IMD compound or perform technical inspection of that requires operating the equipment.
EX-10	Exhibit F	Will the Government provide the latest OSHA inspection date for all GFE that is subject to Federal OSHA standards?	This information will be provided after contract award.
EX-11	Exhibit K - CDRL PM-02 - Personnel Qualification/Certification Report	Block 12 states, "Draft copy shall be submitted 15 days after contract performance start date. Govt will review and approve the Draft copy within 15 days. Final copy, with changes in response to Govt comments, shall be submitted on contract performance start date." Will Government please provide correct due dates for Draft and Final reports?	Please refer to Amendment 0004, CDRL PM-02.
EX-12	Exhibit_F-DOL Equipment_Listing	Exhibit_F provides a sample of tactical and commercial equipment requiring maintenance support. Question: Will the Government provide a Density Listing for Equipment Fleets in order to determine adequate staffing needs by labor category?	Maintenance workload data is given in the IMD workload in man-hours for each of the 15 DOL maintenance shop/commodity areas, the NMP estimates, and the Maintenance Support Team estimated annual man-hours. This information combined with the PWS and Exhibit D (Equipment Listing) which lists types of equipment supported, is sufficient information for an accurate proposal for the maintenance area. Density lists of equipment fleets across Fort Campbell are not required for an accurate proposal in this area.
EX-15	Solicitation W52P1J-12-R-0112, (Campbell), Exhibit E	Does the incumbent contractor require employees to provide their own commercial general mechanic's tool kit or is this provided by the incumbent contractor?	Yes, contractor employee mechanics are required to provide their own tools.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS HAVE BEEN MARKED YELLOW