

General Questions			
QUESTION #	RFP Reference	QUESTION	ANSWER
GEN-06		Based upon the limited workload data, will the government extend this proposal due date?	Please refer to Amendment 0001.

Attachment Questions			
QUESTION #	RFP Reference	QUESTION	ANSWER
ATT-01	Attachment 0006	Workload Provided in attachment 006 under <i>Commodity</i> uses acronyms (AUTO, CONST, and GEN) a definition of these acronyms was not provided. Will the government provide a definition for each of the commodity areas listed as AUTO, CONST, and GEN?	Please refer to Amendment 0002.
ATT-03	Attachment 0006, Maintenance Support Teams (UME/CMT) National Guard Reset & Maintenance Support Teams (UME/CMT) III Corps	Since the workload data for Maintenance Support Teams (UME/CMT) include non-direct personnel (supply, production control, supervisors, etc. in support of those programs), is there an estimated ratio of non-direct to direct personnel the government wishes industry to use to determine the correct number of personnel?	This is a performance based contract therefore it is up to the Offerors to use the information provided with the solicitation (i.e. PWS, workload data, equipment listing) in structuring their proposal to include the number of proposed personnel.
ATT-04	Attachment 002	Is there a current binding Collective Bargaining Agreement in place. If yes can you share the CBA?	No, there is not a Collective Bargaining Agreement in place.
ATT-05	DOM Workload PDF	Does the "GEN" abbreviation stand for generators or general?	Please refer to question ATT-01.
ATT-07	Attachment 006 Workload Data	The grand total for the estimated number of jobs is 568, when totaled it is 567 what is the correct number.	Please refer to Amendment 0002.
ATT-13	Att 0005	Attachment 0005 the Cost/Price Matrix from the government is protected except for the blue highlighted cells and Column F for OY2 is narrower than the other contract years and an error is being returned by Excel. Question: Can the government increase the width or should the offeror recreate the sheet?	Please refer to Amendment 0001
ATT-14	Attachment 0005-Cost/Price Matrix	Column F is not large enough to display the result of the labor calculations. Will the government please prove a revised Attachment 0005 so that all results are displayed?	Please refer to Amendment 0001
ATT-23	RFP, Attachment 0006, Workload Data	The abbreviation, "GEN," under the Commodity heading in this attachment is not clear. Does it stand for generator or general?	Please refer to question ATT-01.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS WILL BE MARKED YELLOW

FT. HOOD - W52P1J-12-R-0113 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

ATT-45	Attachement 10 - SCA Wage Determination 2005-2524, Revision 13, dated 06/13/2012	The SCA wage Determination, included in the RFP, mandates that the Health & Welfare rate of \$3.71 per hour is paid on all hours worked, which requires the bidder to propose the H&W rate on all standard and overtime hours, excluding Holiday and vacation hours paid. Usually , it is the odd numbered Wage determination (2005-2523, Rev. 12, dated 06/12/2012) that is preferred by the government that mandates " HEALTH & WELFARE: \$3.71 per hour or \$148.40 per week or \$643.07 per month", which corresponds to \$3.71 on 40 paid hours a week or 2,080 hours in a year. Q. Does the government still intend the bidders used the wage determination already provided in the RFP, or will they replace it with the Odd numbered Wage determination, 2005-2523?	Attachment 0010, Wage Determination 2005-2524 should be utilized.
ATT-46	WD	Page 3 of Amendment 1 item k refers to the Wage Determination in Attachment 10 dated November 29, 2012. The Wage Determination provided is dated June 13, 2012 and that is the latest WD on the DOL website. Can the government clarify if the June WD is the correct one to use?	Please refer to Amendment 0003.
ATT-47	W52P1J-12-R-0113,	Attachment 0005 - Cost / Price Matrix. There is still a formatting errors in Col F for OY2 the cell is still too narrow. When pricing number are entered it show #####. The offeror cannot widen the column.	Please refer to Amendment 0003.

PWS Sections 1-4 Questions			
QUESTION #	RFP Reference	QUESTION	ANSWER
PWS-01	PWS, 1.3.12	States "The government will not sponsor interim clearances." The customer doesn't "sponsor" any clearances as these are granted by the DoD CAF without any direct involvement of the customer. Does this mean interim clearances are not acceptable for this contract?	Personnel with interim clearances are allow to work however if final clearances are not granted then personnel will no longer be able to perform on contract. The Government will not sponsor clearances.
PWS-03	PWS, 1.5.3.1 and 1.5.3.2	These paragraphs seem to be contradictory. Please clarify if the contractor will be responsible for classified storage within the operational facility at Ft. Hood.	Yes, when required the contractor will maintain capabilities for receipt, storage and generation of classified material. However, at this time there is no operational requirement for classified storage at Ft. Hood.
PWS-05	PWS, page 9 1st Paragraph	There is a reference to a CBA. Is there a CBA for this requirement?	No, there is not a Collective Bargaining Agreement in place.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS WILL BE MARKED YELLOW

FT. HOOD - W52P1J-12-R-0113 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

PWS-08	PWS Section C-1, page 12, paragraph 1.5.3.5 & page 22, paragraph 1.10.10	Will the Government permit contractor to connect contractor-owned computers to the government-owned network for web-based timekeeping systems?	The contract SHALL NOT install or connect non-government-owned computing systems or devices to the government networks WITHOUT coordination and authorization from the appropriate information assurance office.
PWS-09	PWS Section C-1, Page 15, Paragraph 1.6.2	Will the Government provide current internal SOPs for each of these functional areas or will the contractor be required to develop SOPs?	Current internal SOPs will not be provided. The successful offeror is required to author and have the appropriate internal SOPs in place supporting the requirements of the PWS, all regulations, rules, local procedures, laws, ordinances and industry best practices. Unlike external SOPs, internal procedural documentation will not become government property; however, the government reserves the right to inspect or otherwise review such internal SOPs.
PWS-12	PWS, Section C-1, Page 11, Paragraph 1.4.8	The contractor shall plan for labor strikes which impact the effort. The contractor shall submit a strike contingency plan within 90 calendar days after contract award date in accordance with FAR Clause 52.222.1, Notice of Labor Disputes. Question: Are these employees currently represented under a CBA? If not, then why is a "Strike Contingency Plan" required?	No, there is not a Collective Bargaining Agreement in place. Paragraph 1.4.8 has been removed from the PWS and CDRL PM-04, Contingency Plan has been revised. Please refer to Amendment 0003.
PWS-13	PWS Section C-1 Page 7	Para 1.3.12 states "the Government will not sponsor Interim Clearances", does this mean personnel must have a final Secret before starting to work?	Please refer to question PWS-01.
PWS-15	PWS, Section C-1, Page 10, Paragraph 1.4.6	PWS Paragraph 1.4.6 states, "Transition-in will commence after Notice to Proceed (NTP) is issued by the PCO and will continue until FOC, not to exceed 30 days." How many calendar days will be between contract award, NTP and Transition-in? This information is required in order to properly developed the required Transition-In Plan timeline.	Please refer to Question RFP-02.
PWS-21	Solicitation, L-10, Page 44 of 58	The Performance Work Statement, paragraph 1.4.2., and the Contract Data Requirements List PM-04, Contingency Plan, both contain requirements to address labor disputes and strike contingencies. As the work under this Task Order does not include union workers, this offeror assumes we do not have to address labor disputes and strike contingencies in our Mission Essential Contractor Services Plan. Please confirm that this assumption is correct.	Please refer to question PWS-12.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS WILL BE MARKED YELLOW

PWS Section 5 Questions			
QUESTION #	RFP Reference	QUESTION	ANSWER
PWS5-04	PWS Section C-6 Pages 2 and 4 Paragraphs 5.10 thru 5.27	Each of these paragraphs in the PWS say "RESERVED." Can we assume from this that the response to this RFP, which is due on 14 January 2013, is not to address any of the tasks named in these paragraphs of the PWS, and that the sole focus of the responses to this RFP that is due on 14 January 2013 are the Maintenance Tasks outlined in PWS 5.1 - 5.9?	The responses to the Ft. Hood RFP should only be for the Maintenance Portion.
PWS5-43	PWS Section C-5 Maintenance PRS, Page 11, RE PWS Para C.5.3.4 refers to a CBA.	Is any of the work performed under this task order under a Collective Bargaining Agreement? If so please identify which shops/sections and provide a copy of the current CBA.	Please refer to question PWS-05.
PWS5-44	PWS Section C-6, Pages 1-6 , Paragraphs 5.10-5.27	PWS Paragraphs 5.10 through 5.27 are "RESERVED." Does the government intend to add this work to the contract as other contracts/task orders expire or reach option year renewal dates in order to meet the AMC goal of a "single logistics provider" at Fort Hood?	This task order awarded under an EAGLE BOA will be Requirements contracts in accordance with FAR 16.503. At this time there are no requirements for these tasks reserved in the Fort Hood PWS. However, if and when requirements that fall within the EAGLE scope are identified, they will be added to the existing EAGLE task order.
PWS5-46	PWS Section C-6, Pages 1- 6 , Paragraphs 5.10-5.27	Will the addition of work covered by these currently "RESERVED" paragraphs require the contract/task order to be recompeted?	Please refer to Question PWS5-44.
PWS5-96		Reference Maintenance Performance Requirements, Page 11, last row (The contractor shall provide maintenance teams on-site...), Column 3, Standard: The discussion of timeliness identifies, on line 4 "(IAW CBA)". There is no Collective Bargaining Agreement provided with the Solicitation, only the Ft Hood WD. Is there a CBA in place that supports the LRC Fort Hood? If so, please provide/clarify.	Please refer to Amendment 0002.
PWS5-99	PWS Section C-5	Throughout Section C-5, the abbreviation or acronym "DOM" is used. This abbreviation or acronym is not listed in Section C-2, Definitions, Acronyms, and Abbreviations. What does it mean? And, is it obsolete?	Please refer to Amendment 0002.
PWS5-101	PWS, page 11, C-5 Maintenance PRS, C.5.3.4.	The Timeliness standard states "If new hires are required or work conditions/times are substantially changed (IAW CBA) the contractor.... Please clarify whether or not there is a CBA. If yes, will the government send a copy of the CBA?"	Please refer to question PWS5-96.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS WILL BE MARKED YELLOW

FT. HOOD - W52P1J-12-R-0113 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

PWS5-102	PWS Para 5.10-5.27	I noticed that the Ft. Hood solicitation is totally different than the other DOL's so far(Ft. Campbell, Ft. Benning, Ft. Lee and Ft. Gordon). It has only the maintenance division in the solicitation and not the Supply and Services Division and the Transportation Division. Is it the intent of ASC to issue a separate solicitation down the road for the Supply and Service Division and Transportation Division?	No. This task order awarded under an EAGLE BOA will be Requirements contracts in accordance with FAR 16.503 and 52.216-21 for those tasks identified in the current PWS. As this task order will be a Requirements contract, if Supply and Services tasks and/or Transportation tasks are required at Fort Hood in the future, those tasks will be added via a modification to the EAGLE task order.
----------	--------------------	--	--

L&M Questions			
QUESTION #	RFP Reference	QUESTION	ANSWER
LM-01	RFP, Section L.4 Proposal Structure, Page 48 of 58	We understand that the government require separate volumes for L.4.2.1 General Documents, L.4.2.2 Technical, L.4.2.3 Past performance, L.4.2.4 Cost/Price (Volume 1, 2, 3 and 4). Please confirm our understanding.	The term "Volume" was not utilized in this solicitation as the method of proposal submittal is through ASFI. Due to the file size limitations of ASFI, it is possible multiple file uploads will be required. Therefore, the Government determined it was more appropriate to use the term "files" rather than "volumes".
LM-02	Solicitation, Page 53, Paragraph L.5.4.2.6	Do subcontractors need to submit cost information through the ASFI BRS systems and via CD-ROM or only via CD-ROM submission via mail?	Please refer to Amendment 0001.
LM-03	W52P1J-12-R-0113, p. 51, L.5.3.1.1	Can a major subcontractor of a step 2 BOA holder, identified as a critical teammate to the offeror's BOA attachment 0002 subcontract its work to a subsidiary under that same major subcontractor if it exists as the parent company and sole owner over the subsidiary performing the work?	The Offeror should refer to their BOA Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement when submitting a proposal. If the Offeror did not demonstrate the organizational capability without the reliance of teammates in the functional areas, then when submitting a proposal the Offeror may propose itself and/or the APPROVED subcontractor for its CAGE code provided in its BOA Attachment 0002.
LM-04	W52P1J-12-R-0113, p. 54, L.5.4.3.7	Can the Government clarify what the proposed labor categories are applicable to by use of the word "either" in the following statement: The Offerors shall provide a side by side comparison detailing all proposed labor categories that are applicable to the Service Contract Act and either the Section J Attachment 0010 - Department of Labor Wage Determination, 29 Nov 2012?	Please refer to Amendment 0001.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS WILL BE MARKED YELLOW

FT. HOOD - W52P1J-12-R-0113 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

LM-05	Solicitation, L.5.4.2.6, Page 52 of 58	This Offeror assumes, that Subcontractor pricing CD-ROMS have to be delivered to this address: US Army Contracting Command - Rock Island ATTN: CCRC-E Jennifer Krantz Building 60, 2nd Floor 1 Rock Island Arsenal Rock Island, IL 61299-8000 Please confirm that this address is correct.	Please refer to Amendment 0001.
LM-06	Solicitation Document, Page 50, L.5.1.4	Lacking a letter from the DCAA or DCMA submitted with the proposal IAW L.5.1.4, will the USARMY RIA Contracting Officer automatically determine a small business ineligible for award?	Please refer to Amendment 0001.
LM-08	Solicitation Document, Page 50, L.5.1.4	Will the Contracting Officer request an audit for a small business company that does not have a DCAA or DCMA letter IAW L.5.1.4, but otherwise could be definitively selected for award?	Please refer to Amendment 0001.
LM-09	RFP page 54, Sec. L 5.4.3.7	RFP Section L.5.4.3.7 requires "offerors to provide a side by side comparison detailing all proposed labor categories that are applicable on the Service Contract Act and the Section J Attachment 0010 – Department of Labor Wage Determination, 29 Nov 2012". Section J Attachment 0010 Lists an Area Wage Determination (AWD) date of 03 Dec 12; however the AWD provided is WD 02-2524 posted to the DOL website on 06/19/12 with a revision date of 06/13/12. Please clarify.	Please refer to question LM-04.
LM-10	Solicitation, Page 51, L.5.2.1.3.1; Amendment 1, Page 11, L.4.2.2	Attachment 0002 must be submitted in MS Excel - does this mean it is to be submitted as a separate Excel document, or imbedded in the Technical Volume Word document?	Please submit the Attachment 0002 - Staffing-Labor Mix in a separate Excel document.
LM-11	Solicitation, Page 45, L-13(d); Solicitation, Page 53, L.5.4.2.6	"No packages will be accepted on Federal Holidays." However, Amendment 1 extended the response date to 21 January, Martin Luther King, Jr. Day - a national holiday. Subcontractors submitting proprietary pricing data on CD cannot have their data delivered on the new response date.	Please refer to Amendment 0002.
LM-12	Amendment 0001, Section L.5.4.2.6	TAmendment 0001 to Solicitation W52P1J-12-R-0113 sets the due date to 21 January 2013 at 04:00pm. Based on Section L.5.4.2.6 teammate(s)/subcontractor(s) are to submit their cost information on a CD-ROM. If the hand delivery option is chosen, will someone be at the address identified in Section L.5.4.2.6 on 21 January 2013, Martin Luther King Day?	Please refer to Amendment 0002.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS WILL BE MARKED YELLOW

FT. HOOD - W52P1J-12-R-0113 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

LM-13	Amendment 0001, Section L.5.4.2.6	<p>L.5.4.2.6 states, "The Offerors complete Cost/Price Proposal shall be submitted into the ASFI BRS system. The Offeror must ensure its proposed teammate(s)/ subcontractor(s) submit required cost information no later than the RFP close date. If the proposed teammate(s)/subcontractor(s) wish to provide its cost information independently to the Government, it shall submit its information on a CD-ROM clearly labeled "Cost Information" and shall include the task order solicitation number, the BOA holder's name, BOA number, and the teammate's or subcontractor's company name. If the proposed teammate(s)/subcontractor(s) plan to Hand Deliver its CD-ROM, it shall refer to ACC-RI Local Clause 52.214-4584. If the proposed teammate(s)/subcontractor(s) plan to mail its CD-ROM, it shall mail it to the following address:</p> <p>US Army Contracting Command - Rock Island ATTN: CCRC-E Jennifer Krantz Building 60, 2nd Floor 1 Rock Island Arsenal Rock Island, IL 61299-8000</p> <p>Since 21 January is MLK day, how will the Government be able to accept packages mailed to the Government for delivery on 21 January. Will the Government consider 23 January in order to allow all contractors the same opportunity to submit whether they have local offices at RIA or not for mailing or commercial carrier?</p>	Please refer to question LM-12.
LM-16	RFP, page 51, Paragraph L.5.3.1.5	If the offeror is submitting an additional contract reference for itself and was a subcontractor on that reference, should the name and CAGE code for L.5.3.1.5 i. be the prime contractor's or the offeror's?	If the Offeror chooses to submit an additional contract reference, the Offeror shall provide the Contractor's name and CAGE code for which the contract reference applies to. If the Offeror performed as a subcontractor for the additional reference, the Offeror should provide its name and CAGE code.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS WILL BE MARKED YELLOW

FT. HOOD - W52P1J-12-R-0113 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

LM-17	RFP, page 55, Paragraph L.5.3..6	This paragraph states, "If the Offeror, its proposed teammates or its major subcontractors have not experienced any performance problems as described in L.5.3.6.1-4, it shall include a statement with its past performance proposal submission certifying no performance problems were experienced as described in L.5.3.6.1-4." Is this statement in addition to paragraphs 1 and 2 in the past performance questionnaire which already addresses these items?	Please refer to Amendment 0004.
LM-18	RFP, page 49, L.4.2.2	Is it acceptable to provide explanatory text pertinent to the organizational diagram pages?	Information submitted as part of an Offeror's proposal and not requested as specified in the RFP will not be reviewed by the Government.
LM-19	RFP, page 49, L.4.2.1 i, and L.5.1.1	Paragraph L.4.2.1 subparagraph i, states that we are to return the solicitation and all amendments. Paragraph L.5.1.1 states that we are to return a signed copy of the SF33 and all amendments and also section I and K clauses that require contractor certification. Are we to submit the entire solicitation or just the sections stated in L.5.1.1?	Please refer to Amendment 0004.
LM-20	RFP, page 54, L5.4.3.5.3	Is it satisfactory to provide fiscal year data, as opposed to the requested calendar year data?	Please refer to Amendment 0004.
LM-21	Solicitation, paragraph L.5.3.6, page 52, last sentence	What purpose does attachment 0003, Performance Questionnaire, serve by requiring offerors to check Yes/No , if the Government also require offerors to include a statement with its past performance proposal submission certifying no performance problems were experienced as described in L.5.3.6-4? Does not checking, No , in essence, certify no performance problems?	Please refer to Amendment 0004.
LM-22	Amendment 0003, paragraph L.5.1.5, page 8; and Attachment 0013, column (c), Role	If the total in column (c) should equal 100%", What does the 100 percent signify? Conversely, if the instructions for completing attachment 0013 are misaligned, please provide an accurate list of instructions for completing Attachment 0013. Thank you	Please refer to Amendment 0004.

Site Visit Questions

QUESTION #	RFP Reference	QUESTION	ANSWER
SV-01	Site Visit, Industry Briefing at Fort Hood, 20 December 2012	Briefer indicated that the responsibility for this opportunity would transfer from the AAC at Rock Island, IL to the MICC at Fort Hood, TX. Will this occur prior to or after source selection?	The transfer from ACC-Rock Island to the MICC Office at Fort Hood, TX occurs after the Source Selection.

RFP Questions

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS WILL BE MARKED YELLOW

FT. HOOD - W52P1J-12-R-0113 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTION #	RFP Reference	QUESTION	ANSWER
RFP-01	Solicitation, 1-H b), Page 12 of 58	As the Fort Hood PWS contains only a requirement for maintenance support, this offeror assumes that not all subcontractors must be listed in the proposal, rather only those who are capable to perform the maintenance tasks in Step 2. Please confirm that this is assumption is correct.	Please refer to Section H, Paragraph 1-H(d) and (e) of the solicitation.
RFP-02	Solicitation, Page 2, Section A, subparagraph d	The Task Order RFP does not identify an estimated contract award date nor a potential transition start date. This information is required to accurately forecast rates. Will the government provide estimated timelines for these key events?	The Transition-In period is estimated to begin within 15 days of the award date. A formal notice to proceed will be provided to the contractor. It is anticipated that the task order award will occur in the May 2013 timeframe. However this date is subject to change.
RFP-05	RFP W52P1J-12-R-0113, 15 of 58, Section I-10	FAR 52.203-16 Preventing Personal Conflict of Interest: Please clarify the applicability of this provision to the work to be performed since the work does not appear to include inherent government acquisition functions. Recommend that this clause be deleted from the RFP. Alternately, if the Government believes this clause is applicable, please identify which PWS areas are considered acquisition functions.	Please refer to Amendment 0004.
RFP-07	Section A paragraph M	Section A paragraph m) states that the deadline for questions is 10 days prior to closing of the solicitation. Paragraph L.1.11 states that the deadline is seven days prior to closing. Which one is correct?	Please refer to Amendment 0001.

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS WILL BE MARKED YELLOW

FT. HOOD - W52P1J-12-R-0113 - QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

RFP-10	Solicitation, page 12, 1-H, EAGLE Business Rules - Step Three Task Order Request, d); Attachment 0002 Team Arrangement	This section states, "Step Two Offerors were required to demonstrate the capability to perform all three functional areas. That capability may have been achieved with or without teammates. Any approved teammates listed in the Offerors Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement, may be utilized to perform any of the functions in Step Three. The Government will verify that the Offeror's proposal includes approved teammates by comparing the Offeror's proposal to their BOA Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement." Offerors Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement states, "When submitting a proposal in Step Three, XXX may propose itself and/or the approved subcontractors listed in paragraph 2 below to perform any Maintenance, Supply or Transportation task." Based on this language, if an offeror did not demonstrate organizational capability without the reliance of teammates, do they have to bid teammates or can they self-perform the contract?	In this example, the BOA holder may propose to self perform a task order. However, this BOA holder may not propose the use of any unapproved subcontractors.
--------	--	---	--

NOTE: OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS ARE UNDER GOVERNMENT REVIEW

NOTE: NEWLY ADDED ANSWERS WILL BE MARKED YELLOW