

Q1. REF: RFP Section L.4.3 – Past Performance Questionnaire.

The requirement to submit a Questionnaire for each contract identified in L.4.1 may potentially require an offeror who was a subcontractor to submit a Questionnaire to their former customer, who may also be competing on this same solicitation. As a result, a conflict of interest may arise. Can an alternative means of providing a Questionnaire response be used for these cases to avoid this conflict of interest, such as performing a self-assessment?

R1: In reference to L.4.1, contract reference submissions are selected at the Offeror's discretion; and when an Offeror submits a reference then the information and questionnaires are required as stated in L.4.3. If the Offeror feels there could be a conflict of interest they do not have to list them as a contract reference. A self-assessment is not an option.

Q2. REF: RFP Section A.5, Attachment 0005 – NSA Requirements List.

Verbiage in RFP Section A.5 mentions that the NSA Requirements List is based on recent history and countries with requirements for NSA, however, Attachment 0005 does not list any countries. Can a listing of potential countries be provided by the Government for planning purposes?

R2. No, as stated in the previous Q&A posted on 10 May 2012, a listing of future end users and/or potential countries will not be provided. At this time this information is unknown for anything other than the MGQ. The end user for the MGQ is the Iraqi Ministry of Defense and as stated in Section A paragraph 4 the MGQ will be delivered to Taji, Iraq.

Q3. REF: RFP Section C, SOW for Acquisition of NSA, Paragraph 3.5.

Please confirm that paragraph 3.5 does not apply to existing stocks of ammunition. Secondly, please confirm that this requirement would not apply if it were verified that the balance of the lot in questions is likewise slated for delivery to a USG customer.

R3: Yes, Paragraph 3.5 in Section C applies only to all newly produced items under this contract. New surplus items must comply to the requirements stated in Paragraphs 3.2, 3.3.2 and the General/Family Specifications for Non Standard Ammunition.

As stated in Section A paragraph 1:

"This solicitation, W52P1J-12-R-0032, is issued under full and open competition for the procurement and delivery of various types of Non Standard Ammunition (NSA) for foreign governments and international organizations eligible for the transfer of military defense articles. Various types of ammunition are provided to allied and friendly governments under Foreign Military Sales (FMS) for training and combat purposes..."

Q4. Section A, paragraph 4 states final delivery of MGQ to Taji, Iraq. Is air delivery authorized by the Iraqi Government and if so, which airport will they allow ammunition flights to land and discharge the cargo.

R4. The RFP provides the offerors the option to determine the delivery method they see as most cost effective to meet the delivery schedule provided. It is the responsibility of the offeror to determine

what methods and Ports of Debarkation (PODs) are authorized by the Government of Iraq. The offerors are required to determine all requirements in order to accomplish the delivery as requested in the RFP.

Q5. Section C, paragraph 3.4 requires the contractor to provide at least 60 days notice prior to LATs. Will exceptions to this requirement be allowed after award? For example, if a supplier is able to perform LATs less than 60 days after award, then the 60 day notice would not be possible.

R5. The Government needs at least 60 days notice prior to LATs. Waivers to this requirement would be considered only on a case by case basis.

Q6. Section C, paragraph 4.1 requires the contractor to submit multiple safety documents 90 days prior to DCMA inspection. Will exceptions to this requirement be allowed after award? For example, if a supplier is able to perform inspections less than 90 days after award, then the 90 day requirement would not be possible.

R6. No exceptions will be allowed for the requirements identified in paragraph 4.1. DCMA will not be able to perform Government Source Inspections (GSI) until government approval is obtained for all safety documents.

Q7. Section E, paragraph 2.3 and 2.4. Since the contractor cannot submit its Receiving Report until the fully signed IICS is returned to them, can the USG put a time limit for the two signatures required by these paragraphs and/or a time limit for the Government to return the signed IICS to the contractor?

R7. For IICS documents being signed by the USG representative, they will be returned to the contractor, signed, within two (2) weeks of materiel delivery. For those that are signed by a foreign country, the USG will make every effort to return the signed IICS to the contractor within two (2) weeks. However, the USG does not have control over the foreign countries and the time it takes to receive signed IICS documents.

Q8. Section G, paragraph 4 (d) requires the contractor to provide two copies of the WAWF receiving report with each shipment. Since receiving reports will not be created or approved until after delivery to final destination, will the Government consider removing or amending this paragraph?

R8. Please disregard paragraph 4 (d) in Section G. The instructions under Section E, paragraph 3.1 replaces Section G, paragraph 4 (d).

Q9. Section A, paragraph 4 states the supported weapon system for the 7.62x54 R Ball and Belts is the PKC Machine Gun. As the PKC is not widely produced, please confirm compatibility of ammunition and belts to PKM Machine Gun.

R9. Yes, the 7.62x54mm R Ball ammunition and Link Belts are compatible to the PKM weapon system. Requirement for the MGQ is for ammunition and belts to support the PKC Machine Gun.